

Nov 20, 2012

Mat 267

1/2

Problem

Given $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = g(x)$. find & study power series $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ solutions

example

The Airy equation, $y'' = xy$. a_0, a_1 arbitrary $a_2 = 0$
 $(n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2} = a_{n-1}$

Coefficient of x^n in y''

$$n(n-1)a_n = a_{n-3} \quad \rightarrow \quad a_n = \frac{a_{n-3}}{(n-1)n}$$

Solutions

$$y_1(0) = 1$$

$$y_1'(0) = 0$$

$$y_2(0) = 0$$

$$y_2'(0) = 1$$

$$y_1 = \underbrace{1}_{a_0} + 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot x^2 + \dots = 1 + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} x^3 + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} x^6 + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9} x^9 + \dots$$

$$y_2 = x + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} x^4 + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7} x^7 + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 10} x^{10} + \dots$$

Fuchs's Theorem

If p, q, g have power series that converge in radius R , then the solutions y also have radius of convergence at least R

$$y'' + \underbrace{\frac{1}{1-x^2}}_{R=1} y = \underbrace{\frac{1}{e^x - 2}}_{\log 2}$$

a power series solution would have radius of convergence at least $\log 2$

Theorem

$$v(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k x^k \quad (\text{each } v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x^k \quad (\text{each } A_k \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}))$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k x^k \quad g_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$V' = AV + g$$

If A & g converge to radius R , & if the power series v solves equation, then V converges at at least same R

proof

Equation \Leftrightarrow equality of coefficient of x^k for each k

$$(k+1)V_{k+1} = g_k + \sum_{j=0}^k A_{k-j} V_j$$

Convergence $\Rightarrow \|A_k R^k\|$ is bounded
 $\Rightarrow \exists$ constant $\alpha, \|A_k R^k\| \leq \alpha$
 $\Rightarrow \|A_k\| \leq \alpha R^{-k}$

$\|M\|$ = maximal absolute value of an entry of M

Likewise, $\exists \gamma > 0$ s.t. $\|g_k\| \leq \gamma R^{-k}$

Given $r < R$ need to find η s.t. $\|V_k\| < \eta \cdot r^{-k}$ (*)

proof by induction with undetermined hypothesis

Assume (*) holds for $j \leq k$. need to show it hold for $j = k+1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{k+1}\| &= \frac{1}{k+1} \|g_k + \sum_{j=0}^k A_{k-j} V_j\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k+1} (\|g_k\| + \sum_{j=0}^k \|A_{k-j} V_j\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k+1} (\|g_k\| + n \sum_{j=0}^k \|A_{k-j}\| \|V_j\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k+1} (\gamma R^{-k} + n \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha R^{j-k} \eta \cdot r^{-j}) \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} (\gamma R^{-k} + n r^{-k} \alpha \eta \sum_{j=0}^k R^{j-k} r^{k-j}) \end{aligned}$$

Nov 20, 2012

Mat 267

7/2

$$= \frac{1}{k+1} (\delta R^{-k} + \alpha \eta n r^{-k} \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{k-j}}_{1/n})$$

assumption
 $\eta > \delta$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^j = \beta$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{k+1} (\eta r^{-k} + \alpha \beta \eta n r^{-k})$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{\eta}{k+1}}_{\text{constant}} r^{-(k+1)} \underbrace{(\delta + \alpha \beta \eta n)}_{\text{constant}}$$

Choose K s.t.
above K .

$$\frac{1}{K+1} (\text{const}) \leq \eta \Rightarrow \leq \eta \cdot r^{-(k+1)}$$

□

For proof to work, need η s.t. $\eta \geq \max(\delta, \|V_k\| r^k)$
 $k \leq K$