

Pensieve header: The full \$sl_2\$ invariant using the Drinfel'd double. Continues 2018-05/ybax.nb, Talks/StonyBrook-1805/ybax.nb, Projects/SL2Portfolio/Logoi.nb.

External Utilities

```
In[=]:= HL[e_] := Style[e, Background → Yellow];
```

Program

Internal Utilities

Program

Canonical Form:

Program

```
In[=]:= CF[sd_SeriesData] := MapAt[CF, sd, 3];
CF[e_] := ExpandDenominator@
  ExpandNumerator@Together[Expand[e] //.
    ex- ey- → ex+y /. ex- → eCF[x]];
```

Program

The Kronecker δ :

Program

```
In[=]:= Kδ /: Kδi_,j_ := If[i == j, 1, 0];
```

Program

Equality, multiplication, and degree-adjustment of perturbed Gaussians; $E[L, Q, P]$ stands for $e^{L+Q} P$:

Program

```
In[=]:= E /: E[L1_, Q1_, P1_] ≡ E[L2_, Q2_, P2_] :=
  CF[L1 == L2] ∧ CF[Q1 == Q2] ∧ CF[Normal[P1 - P2] == 0];
E /: E[L1_, Q1_, P1_] E[L2_, Q2_, P2_] := E[L1 + L2, Q1 + Q2, P1 * P2];
E[L_, Q_, P_]$k := E[L, Q, Series[Normal@P, {e, 0, $k}]];
```

Program

Zip and Bind

Program

Variables and their duals:

Program

```
In[=]:= {t*, b*, y*, a*, x*, z*} = {τ, β, η, α, ξ, ξ*};
{τ*, β*, η*, α*, ξ*, ξ*} = {t, b, y, a, x, z}; (ui)* := (u*)i;
```

Program

Finite Zips: (* Perhaps switch Expand to Collect[__, ζ]? *)

Program

```
In[=]:= expand[sd_SeriesData] := MapAt[expand, sd, 3];
expand[ε_] := Expand[ε];
Zip{}_[P_] := P;
Zip{ξ_, ξs___}[P_] := (expand[P // Zip{ξs}] /. f_. ξ^d_. → ∂{ξ*, d} f) /. ξ^* → 0
```

Program

QZip implements the “Q-level zips” on $\mathbb{E}(L, Q, P) = P\mathbb{e}^{L+Q}$. Such zips regard the L variables as scalars.

Program

```
In[=]:= QZipξs_List,simp_@E[L_, Q_, P_] := Module[{ξ, z, zs, c, ys, ηs, qt, zrule, Q1, Q2},
  zs = Table[ξ^*, {ξ, ξs}];
  c = Q /. Alternatives @@ (ξs ∪ zs) → 0;
  ys = Table[∂ξ (Q /. Alternatives @@ zs → 0), {ξ, ξs}];
  ηs = Table[∂z (Q /. Alternatives @@ ξs → 0), {z, zs}];
  qt = Inverse@Table[Kδz,ξ* - ∂z,ξ Q, {ξ, ξs}, {z, zs}];
  zrule = Thread[zs → qt.(zs + ys)];
  Q2 = (Q1 = c + ηs.zs /. zrule) /. Alternatives @@ zs → 0;
  simp /@ E[L, Q2, Det[qt] e^{-Q2} Zipξs[e^{Q1} (P /. zrule)]]];
QZipξs_List := QZipξs,CF;
```

Program

Upper to lower and lower to Upper:

Program

```
In[=]:= U2L = {B_i^p_-. → e^{-p h γ b_i}, B_p_-. → e^{-p h γ b}, T_i^p_-. → e^{p h t_i}, T_p_-. → e^{p h t}, A_i^p_-. → e^{p γ α_i}, A_p_-. → e^{p γ α}};
L2U = {e^{c_-. b_i+d_-} → B_i^{-c/(h γ)} e^d, e^{c_-. b+d_-} → B^{-c/(h γ)} e^d,
  e^{c_-. t_i+d_-} → T_i^{c/h} e^d, e^{c_-. t+d_-} → T^{c/h} e^d,
  e^{c_-. α_i+d_-} → A_i^{c/γ} e^d, e^{c_-. α+d_-} → A^{c/γ} e^d,
  e^ξ_-. → e^{Expand@ξ}};
```

Program

LZip implements the “L-level zips” on $\mathbb{E}(L, Q, P) = P\mathbb{e}^{L+Q}$. Such zips regard all of $P\mathbb{e}^Q$ as a single “ P ”. Here the z ’s are b and $α$ and the $ξ$ ’s are $β$ and $α$.

Program

```
In[=]:= LZipξs_List,simp_@E[L_, Q_, P_] := Module[{ξ, z, zs, c, ys, ηs, lt, zrule, L1, L2, Q1, Q2},
  zs = Table[ξ^*, {ξ, ξs}];
  c = L /. Alternatives @@ (ξs ∪ zs) → 0;
  ys = Table[∂ξ (L /. Alternatives @@ zs → 0), {ξ, ξs}];
  ηs = Table[∂z (L /. Alternatives @@ ξs → 0), {z, zs}];
  lt = Inverse@Table[Kδz,ξ* - ∂z,ξ L, {ξ, ξs}, {z, zs}];
  zrule = Thread[zs → lt.(zs + ys)];
  L2 = (L1 = c + ηs.zs /. zrule) /. Alternatives @@ zs → 0;
  Q2 = (Q1 = Q /. U2L /. zrule) /. Alternatives @@ ξs → 0;
  simp /@ E[L2, Q2, Det[lt] e^{-L2-Q2} Zipξs[e^{L1+Q1} (P /. U2L /. zrule)]] // . L2U];
LZipξs_List := LZipξs,CF;
```

Program

```
In[=]:= Bind_{}[L_, R_] := L R;
Bind_{is__}[L_E, R_E] := Module[{n},
  Times[
    L /. Table[(v : b | B | t | T | a | x | y)_i → v_{n@i}, {i, {is}}],
    R /. Table[(v : β | τ | α | Α | Ε | η)_i → v_{n@i}, {i, {is}}]
  ] // LZipFlatten@Table[{β_{n@i}, τ_{n@i}, a_{n@i}}, {i, {is}}] // QZipFlatten@Table[{ξ_{n@i}, y_{n@i}}, {i, {is}}]];
B_L_List[L_, R_] := Bind_L[L, R]; B_is__[L_, R_] := Bind_{is}[L, R];
```

Program

“Define” code

Program

Define[lhs = rhs] defines the lhs to be rhs, except that rhs is computed once and forever yet gets recomputed whenever \$k changes. Fancy Mathematica not for the faint of heart. Most readers should ignore.

Program

```
In[=]:= SetAttributes[Define, HoldAll];
Define[def_, defs__] := (Define[def]; Define[defs]);
Define[op_is_ = ε_] :=
  Module[{SD, ii, jj, kk, isp, nis, nisp, sis}, Block[{i, j, k, l, m, n},
    ReleaseHold[Hold[
      SD[op_nisp,$k_Integer, Block[{i, j, k, l, m, n}, op_isp,$k = ε; op_nis,$k]];
      SD[op_isp, op_{is},$k];
      SD[op_sis_, op_{sis}]];
    ] /. {
      isp → {is} /. {i → i_, j → j_, k → k_},
      nis → {is} /. {i → ii, j → jj, k → kk},
      nisp → {is} /. {i → ii_, j → jj_, k → kk_},
      SD → SetDelayed
    }]
  ]]
]]
```

Program

Booting Up

Program

```
In[=]:= $k = 2;
```

Program

```

In[=]:= Define [
  ami,j→k = E [ (αi + αj) ak, (e-γ αj ξi + ξj) xk, 1] $k,
  bmi,j→k = E [ (βi + βj) bk, (ηi + ηj) yk, e(e^-e βi-1) ηj yk] $k,
  Ri,j = E [ ħ aj bi, ħ xj yi, e^ { \sum_{k=2}^{$k+1} (1 - eγ ħ)k (ħ yi xj)k } ] $k,
  Pi,j = If [ $k == 0, E [ βi αj / ħ, ηi ξj / ħ, 1] 0,
    MapAt [ (# - e$k Coefficient [ (Rn,m ~ Bn,m ~ ((P{n,j},0) $k (P{i,m},$k-1) $k)) [[3]], e, $k] ) &,
    (P{i,j},$k-1) $k, 3] ],
  aSi = E [ -αi aj, -ξi xi,
    eξi xi Sum [ Expand [ (-ħ γ e)k / 2k k!] Nest [ Expand [ xi2 ∂{xi,2} #] &, e-ξi eħ e ai xi, k] ], {k, 0, $k}] ] $k ~
    Bi,j ~ ami,j→i,
  aS̄i = If [ $k == 0, E [ -ai αi, -xi Ai ξi, 1] 0,
    MapAt [ (# - e$k Coefficient [ ((aS̄{i},0) $k ~ Bi ~ aSi ~ Bi ~ (aS̄{i},$k-1) $k) [[3]], e, $k] ) &,
    (aS̄{i},$k-1) $k, 3] ],
  bSi = Ri,n ~ Bn ~ aSn ~ Bn ~ Pi,n,
  bS̄i = Ri,n ~ Bn ~ aS̄n ~ Bn ~ Pi,n,
  aΔi,j,k = (Rn,j Rm,k) ~ Bn,m ~ bmn,m→l ~ Bl ~ Pl,i,
  bΔi,j,k = (Rj,n Rk,m) ~ Bn,m ~ amn,m→l ~ Bl ~ Pi,l,
  dmi,j→k = (E [ βi bj + αj ai, ηi yj + ξj xi, 1] (aΔi→1,2 ~ B2 ~ aΔ2→2,3) (bΔj→-1,-2 ~ B-2 ~ bΔ-2→-2,-3) ) ~
    B3 ~ aS̄3 ~ B-1,3 ~ (P-1,3) ~ B-3,1 ~ (P-3,1) ~ B2,j,i,-2 ~ (am2,j→k bmi,-2→k),
  dSi = E [ βi bn + αi am, ηi ym + ξi xm, 1] ~ Bn,m ~ (bS̄n aSm) ~ Bn,m ~ dmm,n→i,
  dΔi,j,k = (bΔi→3,1 aΔi→2,4) ~ B1,2,3,4 ~ (dm3,4→k dm1,2→j),
  R̄i,j = Expand /@ Ri,j ~ Bj ~ dSj,
  CCi = E [ 0, 0, Bi1/2 e-ħ e ai/2] $k,
  CC̄i = E [ 0, 0, Bi-1/2 eħ e ai/2] $k,
  Kinki = (R1,3 CC2) ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1 ~ B1,3 ~ dm1,3→i,
  Kink̄i = (R̄1,3 CC2) ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1 ~ B1,3 ~ dm1,3→i,
  (* t==ea-γb and b==-t/γ+ea/γ: *)
  b2ti = E [ αi ai - βi ti / γ, ξi xi + ηi yi, ee βi ai/γ] $k,
  t2bi = E [ αi aj - τi γ bj, ξi xj + ηi yj, ee τi aj] $k
];

```

Testing

```

In[=]:= Block[{$k = 1}, {
    am → ami,j→k, bm → bmi,j→k, dm → dmi,j→k, R → Ri,j, R̄ → R̄i,j, P → Pi,j, aS → aSi,
    aS̄ → aS̄i, bS → bSi, bS̄ → bS̄i, dS → dSi, aΔ → aΔi,j,k, bΔ → bΔi,j,k, dΔ → dΔi,j,k,
    CC → CCi, CC̄ → CC̄i, Kink → Kinki, Kink̄ → Kink̄i, b2t → b2ti, t2b → t2bi
  }] // Column
am → E[ak (αi + αj), xk (e-γ αj ξi + ξj), 1]
bm → E[bk (βi + βj), yk (ηi + ηj), 1 - yk βi ηj ∈ + O[ε]2]
dm → E[ak αi + ak αj + bk βi + bk βj, 1/((h Ai Aj)1/2)
(h yk Ai Aj ηi + h yk Aj ηj + h xk Ai ξi + Ai Aj ηj ξi - Bk Ai Aj ηj ξi + h xk Ai Aj ξj),
1 + 1/(4 h Ai Aj) (-4 h yk Aj βi ηj - 4 h xk Ai βj ξi + 4 γ h2 xk yk ηj ξi +
4 h ak Bk Ai Aj ηj ξi + 2 γ h yk Aj ηj2 ξi - 6 γ h Bk yk Aj ηj2 ξi + 2 γ h xk Ai ηj ξi2 -
6 γ h Bk xk Ai ηj ξi2 + γ Ai Aj ηj2 ξi2 - 4 γ Bk Ai Aj ηj2 ξi2 + 3 γ Bk2 Ai Aj ηj2 ξi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
R → E[h aj bi, h xj yi, 1 - 1/4 (γ h3 xj2 yi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
R̄ → E[-h aj bi, -yi xj/Bi, 1 + ((-4 h2 aj Bi xj yi - 3 γ h3 xj2 yi2)/4 Bi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
P → E[(aj βi)/h, (ηi ξj)/h, 1 + (γ ε ηi2 ξj2)/4 h]
aS → E[-ai αi, -xi Ai ξi, 1 + 1/2 (-2 h ai xi Ai ξi - γ h xi2 Ai2 ξi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
aS̄ → E[-ai αi, -xi Ai ξi, 1 - 1/2 ((-2 γ h xi Ai ξi + 2 h ai xi Ai ξi + γ h xi2 Ai2 ξi2)) ∈
bS → E[-bi βi, -(yi ηi)/Bi, 1 + ((-2 Bi yi βi ηi - γ h yi2 ηi)/2 Bi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
bS̄ → E[-bi βi, -(yi ηi)/Bi, 1 + ((2 γ h Bi yi ηi - 2 Bi yi βi ηi - γ h yi2 ηi)/2 Bi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
Out[=]= dS → E[-ai αi - bi βi, -(h yi Ai ηi - h Bi xi Ai ξi + Ai ηi ξi - Bi Ai ηi ξi),
1 + 1/(4 h Bi2) (4 γ h2 Bi yi Ai ηi - 4 h Bi yi Ai βi ηi - 2 γ h2 yi2 Ai2 ηi2 - 4 h2 ai Bi2 xi Ai ξi - 4 h Bi2 xi Ai βi ξi -
4 γ h Bi Ai ηi ξi + 4 h ai Bi Ai ηi ξi + 4 γ h Bi2 Ai ηi ξi - 4 γ h2 Bi xi yi Ai2 ηi ξi +
4 Bi Ai βi ηi ξi - 4 Bi2 Ai βi ηi ξi + 6 γ h yi Ai2 ηi2 ξi - 2 γ h Bi yi Ai2 ηi2 ξi - 2 γ h2 Bi2 xi2 Ai2 ξi2 +
6 γ h Bi xi Ai2 ηi2 ξi2 - 2 γ h Bi2 xi Ai2 ηi2 ξi2 - 3 γ Ai2 ηi2 ξi2 + 4 γ Bi Ai2 ηi2 ξi2 - γ Bi2 Ai2 ηi2 ξi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
aΔ → E[aj αi + ak αi, xj ξi + xk ξi, 1 + 1/2 (-2 h aj xk ξi + γ h xj xk ξi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
bΔ → E[bj βi + bk βi, Bk yj ηi + yk ηi, 1 + 1/2 γ h Bk yj yk ηi2 ∈ + O[ε]2]
dΔ → E[aj αi + ak αi + bj βi + bk βi, yj ηi + Bj yk ηi + xj ξi + xk ξi,
1 + 1/2 (γ h Bj yj yk ηi2 - 2 h aj xk ξi + γ h xj xk ξi2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
CC → E[0, 0, √Bi - 1/2 (h ai √Bi) ∈ + O[ε]2]
CC̄ → E[0, 0, 1/√Bi + (h ai ε)/(2 √Bi) ∈ + O[ε]2]
Kink → E[h ai bi, h xi yi, 1/√Bi + ((2 h ai - γ h3 xi2 yi2)/ε) ∈ + O[ε]2]
Kink̄ → E[-h ai bi, -(h xi yi)/Bi, √Bi + ((-2 h ai Bi2 - 4 h2 ai Bi xi yi - 3 γ h3 xi2 yi2)/4 Bi3/2) ∈ + O[ε]2]
b2t → E[ai αi - ti βi/γ, yi ηi + xi ξi, 1 + aj τi ∈ + O[ε]2]
t2b → E[aj αi - γ bj τi, yj ηi + xj ξi, 1 + aj τi ∈ + O[ε]2]

```

Check that on the generators this agrees with our conventions in the handout:

```
In[=]:= Timing@{{"[a,x]" → (( $\text{E}[0, 0, a_2 x_1] \sim B_{1,2} \sim am_{1,2 \rightarrow 1}$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$  - ( $\text{E}[0, 0, a_1 x_2] \sim B_{1,2} \sim am_{1,2 \rightarrow 1}$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$ ), " [b,y]" → (( $\text{E}[0, 0, y_2 b_1] \sim B_{1,2} \sim bm_{1,2 \rightarrow 1}$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$  - ( $\text{E}[0, 0, y_1 b_2] \sim B_{1,2} \sim bm_{1,2 \rightarrow 1}$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$ )} / .  $\text{z}_{-1} \rightarrow z$ , {"Δ[y]" → Last[ $\text{E}[0, 0, y_1] \sim B_1 \sim b\Delta_{1 \rightarrow 1,2}$ ], "Δ[b]" → Last[ $\text{E}[0, 0, b_1] \sim B_1 \sim b\Delta_{1 \rightarrow 1,2}$ ], "Δ[a]" → Last[ $\text{E}[0, 0, a_1] \sim B_1 \sim a\Delta_{1 \rightarrow 1,2}$ ], "Δ[x]" → Last[ $\text{E}[0, 0, x_1] \sim B_1 \sim a\Delta_{1 \rightarrow 1,2}$ ]}, { "S(a)" → (( $\text{E}[0, 0, a_1] \sim B_1 \sim aS_1$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$ ), "S(x)" → (( $\text{E}[0, 0, x_1] \sim B_1 \sim aS_1$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$ ), "S(b)" → (( $\text{E}[0, 0, b_1] \sim B_1 \sim bS_1$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$ ), "S(y)" → (( $\text{E}[0, 0, y_1] \sim B_1 \sim bS_1$ )  $\text{B}\langle 3 \rangle$ ) } / .  $\text{z}_{-1} \rightarrow z$ }

Out[=]= {0.703125, { {[a,x] → -x γ, [b,y] → -y ε + O[ε]^3}, {Δ[y] → (B2 y1 + y2) + O[ε]^3, Δ[b] → (b1 + b2) + O[ε]^3, Δ[a] → (a1 + a2) + O[ε]^3, Δ[x] → (x1 + x2) - ℏ a1 x2 ε +  $\frac{1}{2} \hbar^2 a_1^2 x_2 \epsilon^2 + O[\epsilon]^3$ }, {S(a) → -a + O[ε]^3, S(x) → -x - a x ℏ ε -  $\frac{1}{2} (a^2 x \hbar^2) \epsilon^2 + O[\epsilon]^3$ , S(b) → -b + O[ε]^3, S(y) → - $\frac{y}{B}$  + O[ε]^3}}}}
```

Hopf algebra axioms on both sides separately.

Associativity of am and bm:

```
In[=]:= Timing@Block[{$k = 3}, HL /@ {(am1,2→1 ~ B1 ~ am1,3→1) ≡ (am2,3→2 ~ B2 ~ am1,2→1), (bm1,2→1 ~ B1 ~ bm1,3→1) ≡ (bm2,3→2 ~ B2 ~ bm1,2→1)}]

Out[=]= {0.109375, {True, True}}
```

R and P are inverses:

```
In[=]:= Timing@Block[{$k = 3}, {Ri,j, Pi,k, HL[Ri,j ~ Bi ~ Pi,k ≡ E[aj αk, xj εk, 1]]}]

Out[=]= {0.140625, {E[ℏ aj bi, ℏ xj yi, 1 -  $\frac{1}{4} (\gamma \hbar^3 x_j^2 y_i^2) \epsilon + \left( \frac{1}{9} \gamma^2 \hbar^5 x_j^3 y_i^3 + \frac{1}{32} \gamma^2 \hbar^6 x_j^4 y_i^4 \right) \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{1152} (24 \gamma^3 \hbar^5 x_j^2 y_i^2 - 72 \gamma^3 \hbar^7 x_j^4 y_i^4 - 32 \gamma^3 \hbar^8 x_j^5 y_i^5 - 3 \gamma^3 \hbar^9 x_j^6 y_i^6) \epsilon^3 + O[\epsilon]^4], E[ $\frac{\alpha_k \beta_i}{\hbar}$ ,  $\frac{\eta_i \xi_k}{\hbar}$ , 1 +  $\frac{\gamma \eta_i^2 \xi_k^2 \epsilon}{4 \hbar} + \frac{(36 \gamma^2 \hbar^2 \eta_i^2 \xi_k^2 + 40 \gamma^2 \hbar \eta_i^3 \xi_k^3 + 9 \gamma^2 \eta_i^4 \xi_k^4) \epsilon^2}{288 \hbar^2} + \frac{1}{1152 \hbar^3} (48 \gamma^3 \hbar^4 \eta_i^2 \xi_k^2 + 192 \gamma^3 \hbar^3 \eta_i^3 \xi_k^3 + 156 \gamma^3 \hbar^2 \eta_i^4 \xi_k^4 + 40 \gamma^3 \hbar \eta_i^5 \xi_k^5 + 3 \gamma^3 \eta_i^6 \xi_k^6) \epsilon^3 + O[\epsilon]^4], True}}$$ 
```

as and \overline{aS} are inverses, bs and \overline{bS} are inverses:

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@ { $\overline{aS}_1 \sim B_1 \sim aS_1 \equiv E[a_1 \alpha_1, x_1 \xi_1, 1]$ ,  $\overline{bS}_1 \sim B_1 \sim bS_1 \equiv E[b_1 \beta_1, y_1 \eta_1, 1]$ }]

Out[=]= {0.375, {True, True}}
```

(co)-associativity on both sides

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@ 
  {(aΔ1→1,2~B2~aΔ2→2,3) ≡ (aΔ1→1,3~B1~aΔ1→1,2), (bΔ1→1,2~B2~bΔ2→2,3) ≡ (bΔ1→1,3~B1~bΔ1→1,2),
   (am1,2→1~B1~am1,3→1) ≡ (am2,3→2~B2~am1,2→1), (bm1,2→1~B1~bm1,3→1) ≡ (bm2,3→2~B2~bm1,2→1)}]
Out[=]= {0.421875, {True, True, True, True}}
```

Δ is an algebra morphism

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@ {am1,2→1~B1~aΔ1→1,2 ≡ (aΔ1→1,3 aΔ2→2,4) ~ B1,2,3,4 ~ (am3,4→2 am1,2→1),
  bm1,2→1~B1~bΔ1→1,2 ≡ (bΔ1→1,3 bΔ2→2,4) ~ B1,2,3,4 ~ (bm3,4→2 bm1,2→1)}]
Out[=]= {0.734375, {True, True}}
```

S is convolution inverse of id

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL [# ≡ E[0, 0, 1]] & /@ {
  (aΔ1→1,2~B1~aS1) ~ B1,2 ~ am1,2→1, (aΔ1→1,2~B2~aS2) ~ B1,2 ~ am1,2→1,
  (bΔ1→1,2~B1~bS1) ~ B1,2 ~ bm1,2→1, (bΔ1→1,2~B2~bS2) ~ B1,2 ~ bm1,2→1}]
Out[=]= {0.640625, {True, True, True, True}}
```

S is an algebra anti-(co)morphism

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@ {am1,2→1~B1~aS1 ≡ (aS1 aS2) ~ B1,2 ~ am2,1→1, bm1,2→1~B1~bS1 ≡ (bS1 bS2) ~ B1,2 ~ bm2,1→1,
  aS1~B1~aΔ1→1,2 ≡ aΔ1→2,1~B1,2~(aS1 aS2), bS1~B1~bΔ1→1,2 ≡ bΔ1→2,1~B1,2~(bS1 bS2)}]
Out[=]= {1.03125, {True, True, True, True}}
```

Pairing axioms

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@ {(bm1,2→1 E[α3 a3, ε3 x3, 1]) ~ B1,3 ~ P1,3 ≡
  (E[β1 b1, η1 y1, 1] E[β2 b2, η2 y2, 1] aΔ3→4,5) ~ B1,4 ~ P1,4 ~ B2,5 ~ P2,5,
  (bΔ1→1,2 E[α3 a3, ε3 x3, 1] E[α4 a4, ε4 x4, 1]) ~ B1,3 ~ P1,3 ~ B2,4 ~ P2,4 ≡
  (E[β1 b1, η1 y1, 1] am3,4→3) ~ B1,3 ~ P1,3}]
Out[=]= {0.484375, {True, True}}
```

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@ {(bS1 E[α2 a2, ε2 x2, 1]) ~ B1,2 ~ P1,2 ≡ (E[β1 b1, η1 y1, 1] aS2) ~ B1,2 ~ P1,2,
  (bS1 E[α2 a2, ε2 x2, 1]) ~ B1,2 ~ P1,2 ≡ (E[β1 b1, η1 y1, 1] aS2) ~ B1,2 ~ P1,2}]
Out[=]= {0.328125, {True, True}}
```

Tests for the double.

Check the double formulas on the generators agree with SL2Portfolio.pdf:

```

In[=]:= Timing@{{
    "[a,y]" → ((E[0, 0, y2 a1] ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1) [[3]] - (E[0, 0, y1 a2] ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1) [[3]]),
    "[b,x]" → ((E[0, 0, x2 b1] ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1) [[3]] - (E[0, 0, x1 b2] ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1) [[3]]),
    "xy-qyx" → ((E[0, 0, x1 y2] ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1) [[3]] - (1 + ε) (E[0, 0, y1 x2] ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1) [[3]])
  } /. {z-1 → z} // Expand // Factor,
  {
    "Δ(a)" → ((E[0, 0, a1] ~ B1 ~ dΔ1→1,2) [[3]]),
    "Δ(x)" → ((E[0, 0, x1] ~ B1 ~ dΔ1→1,2) [[3]]),
    "Δ(b)" → ((E[0, 0, b1] ~ B1 ~ dΔ1→1,2) [[3]]),
    "Δ(y)" → ((E[0, 0, y1] ~ B1 ~ dΔ1→1,2) [[3]])
  } // Simplify,
  {
    "S(a)" → ((E[0, 0, a1] ~ B1 ~ dS1) [[3]]),
    "S(x)" → ((E[0, 0, x1] ~ B1 ~ dS1) [[3]]),
    "S(b)" → ((E[0, 0, b1] ~ B1 ~ dS1) [[3]]),
    "S(y)" → ((E[0, 0, y1] ~ B1 ~ dS1) [[3]])
  } /. {z-1 → z} // Simplify
}
}

Out[=]= {10.8125, {{"[a,y] → -y γ + O[ε]3, [b,x] → x ε + O[ε]3,
  xy-qyx → 
$$-x y + \frac{1 - B + x y \hbar}{\hbar} + (a B - x y + x y \gamma \hbar) \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} (-a^2 B \hbar + x y \gamma^2 \hbar^2) \epsilon^2 + O[\epsilon]^3$$
},
  {"Δ(a) → (a1 + a2) + O[ε]3, Δ(x) → (x1 + x2) - ℏ a1 x2 ε + 
$$\frac{1}{2} \hbar^2 a_1^2 x_2 \epsilon^2 + O[\epsilon]^3$$
,
  Δ(b) → (b1 + b2) + O[ε]3, Δ(y) → (y1 + B1 y2) + O[ε]3},
  {"S(a) → -a + O[ε]3, S(x) → -x - a x ℏ ε - 
$$\frac{1}{2} (a^2 x \hbar^2) \epsilon^2 + O[\epsilon]^3$$
,
  S(b) → -b + O[ε]3, S(y) → 
$$-\frac{y}{B} + \frac{y \gamma \hbar \epsilon}{B} - \frac{(y \gamma^2 \hbar^2) \epsilon^2}{2 B} + O[\epsilon]^3$$
}}}

```

(co)-associativity

```

In[=]:= Timing[HL /@
  {(dΔ1→1,2 ~ B2 ~ dΔ2→2,3) ≡ (dΔ1→1,3 ~ B1 ~ dΔ1→1,2), (dm1,2→1 ~ B1 ~ dm1,3→1) ≡ (dm2,3→2 ~ B2 ~ dm1,2→1)}]
Out[=]= {7.23438, {True, True}}

```

Δ is an algebra morphism

```

In[=]:= Timing@HL[dm1,2→1 ~ B1 ~ dΔ1→1,2 ≡ (dΔ1→1,3 dΔ2→2,4) ~ B1,2,3,4 ~ (dm3,4→2 dm1,2→1)]
Out[=]= {13.5469, True}

```

S is convolution inverse of id

```

In[=]:= Timing[
  HL[# ≡ E[0, 0, 1]] & /@ {(dΔ1→1,2 ~ B1 ~ dS1) ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1, (dΔ1→1,2 ~ B2 ~ dS2) ~ B1,2 ~ dm1,2→1}]
Out[=]= {11.9688, {True, True}}

```

S is a (co)-algebra anti-morphism

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@
  Expand /@ {dm_{1,2→1}~B_1~dS_1 ≡ (dS_1 dS_2) ~B_{1,2}~dm_{2,1→1}, dS_1~B_1~dΔ_{1→1,2} ≡ dΔ_{1→2,1}~B_{1,2}~(dS_1 dS_2)}]
Out[=]= {26.125, {True, True}}
```

Quasi-triangular axiom 1:

```
In[=]:= Timing@HL [R_{1,2}~B_1~dΔ_{1→1,3} ≡ (R_{1,4} R_{3,2}) ~B_{2,4}~dm_{2,4→2}]
Out[=]= {0.6875, True}
```

Quasi-triangular axiom 2:

```
In[=]:= Timing@HL [(dΔ_{1→1,2} R_{3,4}) ~B_{1,2,3,4}~(dm_{1,3→1} dm_{2,4→2}) ≡ (dΔ_{1→2,1} R_{3,4}) ~B_{1,2,3,4}~(dm_{3,1→1} dm_{4,2→2})]
Out[=]= {11.7344, True}
```

The Drinfel'd element inverse property, $(u_1 \bar{u}_2) \sim B_{1,2} \sim dm_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} \equiv \mathbb{E}[0, 0, 1]$:

```
In[=]:= Timing@
  HL [( (R_{1,2}~B_1~dS_1~B_{1,2}~dm_{2,1→i}) (R_{1,2}~B_2~dS_2~B_2~dS_2~B_{1,2}~dm_{2,1→j}) ) ~B_{i,j}~dm_{i,j→i} ≡ \mathbb{E}[0, 0, 1]]
Out[=]= {4.25, True}
```

The ribbon element v satisfies $v^2 = S(u) u$. The spinner C=uv⁻¹. It is convenient to compute $z = S(u) u^{-1}$ which is something easy.

```
In[=]:= Timing@Block[{$k = 3},
  (( (R_{1,2}~B_1~dS_1~B_{1,2}~dm_{2,1→i}) ~B_i~dS_i) (R_{1,2}~B_2~dS_2~B_2~dS_2~B_{1,2}~dm_{2,1→j}) ) ~B_{i,j}~dm_{i,j→i}]
Out[=]= {76.0156, \mathbb{E}[0, 0, \frac{1}{B_i} + \frac{\hbar a_i \epsilon}{B_i} + \frac{\hbar^2 a_i^2 \epsilon^2}{2 B_i} + \frac{\hbar^3 a_i^3 \epsilon^3}{6 B_i} + O[\epsilon]^4]}
```

```
In[=]:= Timing@Block[{$k = 2}, HL /@ {(CC_i CC_j) ~B_{i,j}~dm_{i,j→i} ≡ \mathbb{E}[0, 0, 1], (CC_i CC_j) ~B_{i,j}~dm_{i,j→i} ≡
  (( (R_{1,2}~B_1~dS_1~B_{1,2}~dm_{2,1→i}) ~B_i~dS_i) (R_{1,2}~B_2~dS_2~B_2~dS_2~B_{1,2}~dm_{2,1→j}) ) ~B_{i,j}~dm_{i,j→i}]
Out[=]= {5.79688, {True, True}}
```

Reidemeister 2:

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL [# ≡ \mathbb{E}[0, 0, 1]] & /@
  {(R_{1,2} R_{3,4}) ~B_{1,2,3,4}~(dm_{1,3→1} dm_{2,4→2}), (R_{1,2} \bar{R}_{3,4}) ~B_{1,2,3,4}~(dm_{1,3→1} dm_{2,4→2})}]
Out[=]= {8.26563, {True, True}}
```

Cyclic Reidemeister 2:

```
In[=]:= Timing@HL [(R_{1,4} \bar{R}_{5,2} CC_3) ~B_{2,4}~dm_{2,4→2}~B_{1,3}~dm_{1,3→1}~B_{1,5}~dm_{1,5→1} ≡ CC_1]
Out[=]= {6.79688, True}
```

Reidemeister 3:

```
In[=]:= Timing@HL [( (R_{1,2} R_{4,3} R_{5,6}) ~B_{1,4}~dm_{1,4→1}~B_{2,5}~dm_{2,5→2}~B_{3,6}~dm_{3,6→3}) ≡
  (R_{1,6} R_{2,3} R_{4,5}) ~B_{1,4}~dm_{1,4→1}~B_{2,5}~dm_{2,5→2}~B_{3,6}~dm_{3,6→3})]
Out[=]= {5.64063, True}
```

Relations between the four kinks:

```
In[=]:= Timing[HL /@ {Kinki ≈  $(R_{3,1} CC_2) \sim B_{1,2} \sim dm_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} \sim B_{1,3} \sim dm_{1,3 \rightarrow i}$ ,  

Kinkj ≈  $(\bar{R}_{3,1} \bar{CC}_2) \sim B_{1,2} \sim dm_{1,2 \rightarrow 1} \sim B_{1,3} \sim dm_{1,3 \rightarrow j}$ ,  $(Kink_i Kink_j) \sim B_{i,j} \sim dm_{i,j \rightarrow 1} \equiv \mathbb{E}[0, 0, 1]$ }]  

Out[=]= {10.8594, {True, True, True}}
```

The Trefoil

```
In[=]:= Monitor[Timing@Block[{$k = 1$},  

  Z = R1,5 R6,2 R3,7 CC4 Kink8 Kink9 Kink10;  

  Do[Z = Z ~ B1,r ~ dm1,r → 1, {r, 2, 10}];  

  Simplify /@ Z], r]  

Out[=]= {113.813,  $\mathbb{E}[0, 0,$   

 $\frac{B_1}{1 - B_1 + B_1^2} - (\hbar B_1 (-a_1 (-1 + B_1 - B_1^3 + B_1^4) + \gamma (B_1 - 2 B_1^2 - 2 B_1^4 + 2 \hbar x_1 y_1 + B_1^3 (3 + 2 \hbar x_1 y_1))) \in)$  /  

 $(1 - B_1 + B_1^2)^3 + O[\epsilon]^2]$ }
```