

**Problem 1.** For any based space  $(X, x_0)$  define a natural non-zero map  $\alpha_{(X, x_0)}: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow H_1(X)$ . The challenge will be to show that your definition is well-defined.

What means “non-zero”? We don’t have the tools yet to prove that  $H_1$  is ever non-zero! So I will be happy enough with a map that is non-zero as per our intuitive notion of  $H_1(S^1)$ .

What means “natural”? That if  $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ , then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(X, x_0)}} & H_1(X) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \pi_1(Y, y_0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(Y, y_0)}} & H_1(Y) \end{array}$$

(In other words,  $\alpha$  should be a “natural transformation”).

**Problem 2.** Show that the set  $\Delta'_n := \{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) : 0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n \leq 1\} \subset I^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is homeomorphic to the standard  $n$  simplex  $\Delta_n$  via a map of the form  $[v_0, \dots, v_n]: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta'_n$  where  $v_0, \dots, v_n \in I^n$ .

**Problem 3.** Using the alternative model of the  $n$ -simplex presented in the previous problem, show that

1. The  $n$ -cube  $I^n$  can be presented as a union of size  $n!$  of  $n$ -simplices.
2. The product  $\Delta_p \times \Delta_q$  can be presented as a union of size  $\binom{p+q}{q}$  of  $(p+q)$ -simplices.

**Problem 4.** “Homotopies between maps” define an “ideal” within the category of topological spaces and continuous maps between them: the homotopy relation is an equivalence relation, and if  $f_1 \sim f_2$ , then  $f_1 \circ g \sim f_2 \circ g$  and  $g \circ f_1 \sim g \circ f_2$  whenever these compositions make sense. Show that the same is true for the notion “homotopy of morphisms between chain complexes”, within the category  $\text{Kom}$  of chain complexes.