



Problem 1. For any based space (X, x_0) define a natural non-zero map $\alpha_{(X, x_0)}: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow H_1(X)$. The challenge will be to show that your definition is well-defined.

What means “non-zero”? We don’t have the tools yet to prove that H_1 is ever non-zero! So I will be happy enough with a map that is non-zero as per our intuitive notion of $H_1(S^1)$.

What means “natural”? That if $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$, then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X, x_0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(X, x_0)}} & H_1(X) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \pi_1(Y, y_0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(Y, y_0)}} & H_1(Y) \end{array}$$

(In other words, α should be a “natural transformation”).

Problem 2. Show that the set $\Delta'_n := \{(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n): 0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n \leq 1\} \subset I^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is homeomorphic to the standard n simplex Δ_n via a map of the form $[v_0, \dots, v_n]: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta'_n$ where $v_0, \dots, v_n \in I^n$.

Problem 3. Using the alternative model of the n -simplex presented in the previous problem, show that

1. The n -cube I^n can be presented as a union of size $n!$ of n -simplices.
2. The product $\Delta_p \times \Delta_q$ can be presented as a union of size $\binom{p+q}{q}$ of $(p+q)$ -simplices.

Problem 4. “Homotopies between maps” define an “ideal” within the category of topological spaces and continuous maps between them: the homotopy relation is an equivalence relation, and if $f_1 \sim f_2$, then $f_1 \circ g \sim f_2 \circ g$ and $g \circ f_1 \sim g \circ f_2$ whenever these compositions make sense. Show that the same is true for the notion “homotopy of morphisms between chain complexes”, within the category Kom of chain complexes.