## Math 327 Homework 8

**Exercise 1.** (Munkres, #2, p. 223) If instead of dividing the interval [-r, r] into three equal pieces, we instead divided into pieces [r, -qr], [-qr, qr], [qr, r], 0 < a < 1, for what values of q does the proof of the Tietze theorem go through?

I claim that any q < 1/2 works. It's a bit tedious to check in full, but what we need is

$$|g(a) - f(a)| \le r/k, \forall a \in A$$

where k > 1, so that the resulting geometric series will converge (see text). The bound on |g(a) - f(a)| is obtained by considering the case where a lies in each of the three preimages (under f) of our three subintervals. The "worst case" occurs when  $a \notin B \cup C$  (see text); then the only bound we can get is |g(a) - f(a)| < 2q, so we need 2q < 1.

**Exercise 2.** (Munkres, #5a, p. 223) A space is said to have the universal extension property if for each triple consisting of a normal space X, a closed subset A of X, and a continuous function  $f : A \rightarrow Y$ , there exists an extension of f to a continuous map of X into Y. Show  $\mathbb{R}^J$  has the universal extension property.

Given any such tuple  $(X, A, f : A \to \mathbb{R}^J)$ , we may, by Tietze's Theorem, extend each coordinate of f, say  $f_{\alpha} : A \to \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in J$ , to a continuous map  $f'_{\alpha} : X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Reassembling these maps in the obvious way, we get a map  $f' : X \to \mathbb{R}^J$  which agrees with f on A and is continuous by definition of the product topology.

**Exercise 3.** (Munkres, #1, p. 270) Let X be metric and suppose there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that every  $\epsilon$ -ball in X has compact closure. Then X is complete, but it is not true that we can reverse the order of the  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  quantifiers in the above statement.

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in X. This sequence eventually lies inside some  $\epsilon$ -ball (this is obvious from the definition of Cauchy sequence), hence inside its closure, which is compact. Hence  $\{x_n\}$  eventually lies inside a compact, hence complete, space, and so converges, say to  $x \in X$ .

Let X = (0, 1), which is certainly not complete, but it's clear that given any  $x \in X$  we can find  $\epsilon_x$  such that  $\overline{B_{\epsilon_x}(x)}$  is compact.

**Exercise 4.** (Munkres, #4, p. 270) Show that the metric space (X, d) is complete iff for every nested sequence  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq ...$  of nonempty closed sets of X with diam $A_n \rightarrow 0$ , the intersection of all the  $A_n$  is nonempty.

Suppose first that X is complete. Construct  $\{x_n\}$  by taking  $x_n \in A_n$ ; it's clear that this is a Cauchy sequence. Writing  $x_n \to x$ , we see that  $x \in A_n$  for every  $A_n$  (or  $\{x_n\}$  could not converge to x), so  $x \in \cap A_n$ .

Conversely, let  $\{x_j\}$  be a Cauchy sequence. Define  $A_n = \overline{\{x_j\}_{j=n}^{\infty}}$ ; these sets clearly satisfy the above hypotheses, so we may produce  $x \in \cap A_n$ . Then  $\{x_j\}$  has a subsequence converging to x, so converges to x itself (by a lemma on Cauchy sequences).

**Exercise 5.** (Munkres, #5, p. 270) A map  $f : X \to X$  is a contraction map if there exists  $\alpha < 1$  such that  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If f is a contraction of a complete metric space, then f has a unique fixed point.

Uniqueness is clear: if f(x) = x, f(y) = y for distinct x, y, then  $d(x, y) = d(f(x), f(y)) \le \alpha d(x, y) < d(x, y)$ , a contradiction. To show existence, we'll apply f repeatedly to some point to generate a sequence which gets arbitrarily close to a fixed point. Fix any  $x \in X$ . To show the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  given by  $x_n = f^n(x)$  is Cauchy, first note

$$d(f^{m}(x), f^{m+1}(x)) \le \alpha d(f^{m-1}(x), f^{m}(x)) \le \ldots \le \alpha^{m} d(x, f(x))$$

Then, assuming n > M,

$$d(f^{n}(x), f^{M}(x)) \leq d(f^{n}(x), f^{n-1}(x)) + \ldots + d(f^{M+1}(x), f^{M}(x))$$
  
$$\leq \alpha^{n-1}d(x, f(x)) + \ldots + \alpha^{M}d(x, f(x))$$
  
$$\leq (\alpha^{M} + \alpha^{M+1} + \ldots)d(x, f(x))$$
  
$$= \frac{\alpha^{M}}{1 - \alpha}d(x, f(x)) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } M \longrightarrow \infty.$$

This can easily be shown to imply that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and so converges, say to  $x \in X$ . To show x is the desired fixed point, note that f is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz, hence (uniformly) continuous, so  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = x$ . Alternately,

$$d(x, f(x)) \le d(x, x_m) + d(x_m, f(x_m)) + d(f(x_m), f(x))$$
  
$$\le 2d(x, x_m) + d(x_m, f(x_m)).$$

Since the right hand side can be made as small as we like, it must be that d(f(x), x) = 0.

**Exercise 6.** (Munkres, #1, p. 280) A countable product of totally bounded metric spaces is totally bounded; a countable product of compact metric spaces is compact, and this result does not depend on Tychonoff's Theorem (hence doesn't depend on the Axiom of Choice).

Let  $X = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  where each  $X_n$  is totally bounded. Recall that  $D(x, y) = \sup\{\overline{d}_i(x_i, y_i)/i\}$  is a metric for the product space. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose N large enough that  $i \ge N$  implies  $1/i < \epsilon/2$ . Let  $\{x_{nm}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, m \le k_n}$  be, for each n, a finite set of points such that for all  $x_n \in X_n$  there exists  $x_{nm}$  such that  $\overline{d}_n(x_n, x_{nm}) < \epsilon$ . Then it's clear that for any  $x \in X$  there exists some  $y_j = (x_{1m_1}, x_{2m_2}, \ldots, x_{nm_n}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots) \in \prod_{n \in N, m \le k_n} \{x_{nm}\} \times 0 \times 0 \times \ldots$  such that  $D(x, y_j) < \epsilon$ , and that only finitely many such  $y_j$  exist. In other words, X is totally bounded.

Completeness is already done (essentially we repeat the proof of Theorem 43.4). Hence a countable product of compact metric spaces is compact.

**Exercise 7.** "Problem IX." Show, without Urysohn's Lemma, that every metric space (X, d) can be embedded in a cube  $I^X$ . (Hint: given  $x \in X$ , what real-valued function on X comes to mind?)

If X is empty, there is nothing to show. Otherwise, the obvious function is  $f_x : X \to [0, 1]$  given by  $f_x(y) = \tilde{d}(x, y)$ .

This map is clearly continuous; it is injective since f(x) vanishes exactly in the xth coordinate. All that remains to show is continuity of the inverse function  $f^{-1} : [0,1]^X \to X$ . Fix any basic open set  $B_{\epsilon}(x_0) \subset X$ . If  $\epsilon > 1$ , this ball is all of X, so any neighbourhood of  $f^{-1}(x_0)$  has image (under  $f^{-1}$ ) contained in that ball. If  $\epsilon \le 1$ , consider the neighbourhood  $U = \pi_{x_0}^{-1}([0,\epsilon)) \cap f(X)$ , which is open in  $[0,1]^X$  (as  $\pi_{x_0} : [0,1]^X \to [0,1]$  is continuous) and contains  $f(x_0)$ . Now, if  $x \in U$ , then  $f_{x_0}(x) < \epsilon$ , so  $x \in B_{\epsilon}(x_0)$ . Thus for arbitrary basic  $B_{\epsilon}(x_0)$  we've found  $U \ni x_0$  such that  $f^{-1}(U) \subset B_{\epsilon}(x_0)$ , and we're done.