

Jan 20th.

(12/1)

Premature Definition. Let $H^k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k, x_k \geq 0\}$.
A k -manifold (of class C^r , possibly with boundary)
is $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. each $p \in M$ has an open
nbd (in M) s.t. there is an open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$
and a C^r homeomorphism $\alpha: U \rightarrow V$ whose
differential is of rank k at every $x \in U$.

The ∂M (bdry of M) of M is

$$\{p \in M : \text{For some path } \alpha, p = \alpha(q) \\ \text{where } q \in \partial H^k = \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \{0\}\}$$

Claim ∂M is also a manifold without boundary

Issue:

1. What does differentiability means on H^k .
2. Could it be the case $\partial M = M$.
3. Why is the claim true.

Def: Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ & $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we say that f is
of class C^r on S if there exist an open $U \supset S$ &

C^r function $g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, s.t. $g|_S = f$ i.e.
 $\forall x, g(x) = f(x)$

Easy fact:

If $f: H^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $df(p)$ is well-defined even for $p \in \partial H^k = \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \{0\}$.

if g_1 and g_2 both extend some set containing ∂H^k . Then $(dg_1)(p) = (dg_2)(p)$ so it makes sense to set $df(p) = dg_1(p)$.

Surprisingly hard fact:

Diffability on a set S is a local property.

If $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the property that for every $p \in S$ there is a nbhd U_p & a differentiable function $g_p: U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $f|_{S \cap U_p} = g_p|_{S \cap U_p}$ then f is differentiable on S .

The partition of unity lemma (Thm) \Rightarrow

Given a collection of open sets in \mathbb{R}^n whose overall union is $A = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U$, then $\exists \{\phi_i\}$ of non-negative components supported C^∞ fn. s.t.

$$\text{Support } \{\phi_i\} = \{x : \phi_i(x) \neq 0\}$$

S.t.f. support $(\phi) : \subset A$

2. . . .

3. Each $\text{supp } \phi_i$ is cpt

4. $\sum \phi_i(x) = 1$

