

# MAT1100

## ALGEBRA I

---

# Assignment 3

---

### CONTENTS

1. Problem 1	1
2. Problem 2	1
2.1. Part a	1
2.2. Part b	1
3. Problem 3	2
4. Problem 4	2
5. Problem 5	3
5.1. Part a	3
6. Problem 6	4
6.1. Part a	4
6.2. Part b	4
7. Problem 7	4
7.1. Part a	4
7.2. Part b	5
8. Problem 8	5

## 1. PROBLEM 1

**Show that any group of order 56 has a normal Sylow- $p$  subgroup, for some prime  $p$  dividing 56.**

Let  $G$  be a group such that  $|G| = 56 = 2^3 \cdot 7$ . Let  $n_2$  and  $n_7$  denote the number of Sylow-2 and Sylow-7 subgroups respectively. By Sylow's theorems, we know that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} n_2 & \equiv 1 \pmod{2} & n_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \\ n_2 & \mid 7 & n_7 \mid 8 \end{array}$$

and so  $n_2 \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  while  $n_7 \in \{1, 8\}$ . Now if  $n_7 = 1$  we are done since the Sylow-7 subgroup will be normal. Thus assume that  $n_7 \neq 1$  so  $n_7 = 8$ .

For any two distinct Sylow-7 subgroups  $P, Q \in \text{Syl}_7(G)$  we must have that  $P \cap Q = \{e\}$ . This is because their intersection must also be a subgroup of  $G$  with order dividing 7, so the only possibility is the trivial subgroup. Since every non-identity element of a Sylow-7 subgroup has order 7, this accounts for  $8 \cdot (7 - 1) = 48$  elements of order 7. We claim that this forces  $n_2 = 1$ . Indeed, we note there are at most seven elements whose order is a power of 2, since the elements of order 7 and the identity element correspond to 49 of the 56 possible elements. Since there is at least one non-trivial Sylow-2 subgroup, there must also be at least 7 such elements, and between these two inequalities we conclude there are precisely seven such elements. This corresponds to the existence of exactly one Sylow-2 subgroup, and so  $n_2 = 1$  as required.

## 2. PROBLEM 2

**Let  $S_5$  act on  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5$  by permuting the factors, and let  $G$  be the semi-direct product of  $S_5$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5$ .**

**2.1. Part a. What is the order of  $G$ .** We first strive to make sense of the semi-direct product. We recall that a group action of  $G$  on a set  $X$  induces a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ . For our purposes, define  $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/5)^5)$  as the desired group homomorphism. Furthermore, in the direct product  $N \times H$  we require a group homomorphism  $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ . Identifying the roles of sets and groups above, we define

$$(1) \quad G = (\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 \rtimes_{\phi} S_5.$$

Via the characterization of semi-direct products, we can identify  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 \triangleleft G$  and  $S_5 \leq G$  satisfying  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 S_5$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 \cap S_5 = \{e\}$ . Thus the order of  $G$  is the product of the order of the subgroups with which these are identified, and we conclude that  $|G| = 5^5 \cdot 5! = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^6$ .

**2.2. Part b. How many Sylow-5 subgroups does  $G$  have? Write one of them down.**

The Sylow Theorems tell us that  $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $n_5 \mid 24$  hence  $n_5 \in \{1, 6\}$ . Now since  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5$  is a  $p$ -group, the number of Sylow-5 subgroups will correspond to the number of Sylow-5 subgroups of  $S_5$ . Once again, since  $|S_5| = 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^3$  we know that  $n_5(S_5) \in \{1, 6\}$ . Furthermore, every non-trivial element of a Sylow-5 subgroup of  $S_5$  will have order 5. Since 5 is prime, the only elements of order 5 in  $S_5$  are the 5-cycles, of which there are

$$(2) \quad \frac{5!}{5} = 4! = 24.$$

This implies that  $n_5(S_5) = 6$ . Hence there are precisely six Sylow-5 subgroups of  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 \rtimes_\phi S_5$ .

Now every Sylow-5 subgroup of  $S_5$  is a cyclic subgroup, so in particular let  $G = \langle (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5) \rangle$ . Then  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 \times G$  is a Sylow-5 subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 \rtimes_\phi S_5$ .

### 3. PROBLEM 3

**Show that the group  $Q$  of unit quaternions  $(\{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}, \text{ subject to } i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1 \in Z(Q) \text{ and } ij = k)$  is not a semi-direct product of two of its proper subgroups.**

We begin by analyzing the subgroups of  $Q$ . Define the sets

$$(3) \quad H_1 = \{\pm 1\}, H_i = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}, H_j = \{\pm 1, \pm j\}, H_k = \{\pm 1, \pm k\}.$$

These are clearly subgroups of  $Q$ , and we claim that these are the only possible subgroups. Indeed, we note that  $\langle H_i, j \rangle = Q$  since  $ij = k$  meaning  $\langle H_i, j \rangle$  contains all generators of  $Q$ . By precisely the same reasoning it is impossible to add additional elements to show listed in (3) without generating the entire group, so this enumerates all non-trivial proper subgroups of  $Q$ .

The subgroups  $H_i, H_j, H_k \triangleleft Q$  since they have index 2, while  $H_1 \triangleleft Q$  since  $H_i \subseteq Z(Q)$ . Now for two groups  $G, H \leq Q$  to form a semi-direct product in  $Q$ , it must be true that  $Q = GH$  and  $G \cap H = \{1\}$ . However, we note that no two subgroups intersect trivially, and so  $Q$  cannot be a semi-direct product of its subgroups.

### 4. PROBLEM 4

**Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  be a prime. Show that if  $H$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $[N_G(H) : H]$  is congruent to  $[G : H] \pmod{p}$ . You may wish to study the action of  $H$  on  $G/H$  by multiplication on the left.**

We recall that we can always write the order of a set as the sum of its transitive orbits. Hence if we act on  $G/H$  by  $H$ , we can write

$$(4) \quad |G/H| = \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{number of singleton} \\ \text{orbits} \end{array} \right| + \sum \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{non-trivial} \\ \text{orbits} \end{array} \right|.$$

Let  $\bar{x} \in G/H$  be a representative of a non-trivial orbit. We know that for transitive action, the order of the orbit divides the order of the group and so  $|H\bar{x}| \mid |G/H|$ . However,  $H$  is a  $p$ -group which implies that all non-trivial orbits are non-zero powers of  $p$ . If we calculate equation (4) modulo  $p$  we get

$$(5) \quad |G/H| \equiv \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{number of singleton} \\ \text{orbits} \end{array} \right| \pmod{p}.$$

It is thus sufficient to show that every singleton orbit corresponds to elements of  $N_G(H)/H$ . Let  $gH \in G/H$  be an arbitrary element. This corresponds to a fixed orbit if  $hgH = gH$  for all  $h \in H$ . We can rewrite this as  $g^{-1}hgH = H$  which implies that  $g^{-1}hg \in H$  for all  $h \in H$ . This is precisely the condition for  $g \in N_G(H)$  and so every singleton orbit arises from cosets on the normalizer so

$$(6) \quad \{\text{fixed orbits}\} \subseteq N_G(H)/H.$$

Conversely, if  $g \in N_G(H)$  then consider  $hgH$ . We can write this as  $gg^{-1}hgH = gH$  since  $g^{-1}hg \in H$ . Hence each  $gH$  is a singleton orbit which implies that

$$(7) \quad N_G(H)/H \subseteq \{\text{fixed orbits}\}.$$

Thus every element of the normalizer yields cosets with singleton orbits. Both inclusions imply that the total number of singleton orbits corresponds exactly to the number of cosets of  $H$  in  $N_G(H)$  and so

$$(8) \quad [G : H] = \left| \frac{G}{H} \right| \equiv [N_G(H) : H] \pmod{p}$$

which is what we wanted to show.

## 5. PROBLEM 5

**5.1. Part a. Prove that in any ring,  $(-a)^2 = a^2$  and hence  $(-1)^2 = 1$ .** Let  $R$  be a ring and denote the additive inverse of  $a \in R$  as  $-a$ . Consider the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} (-a)[(-a) + a] &= (-a)(-a) + (-a)a \\ &= (-a)^2 + (-a)a \\ &= 0 && \text{since } (-a) + a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

And so we conclude that  $(-a)^2 = -((-a)a)$ . Hence all that remains is to show that  $-((-a)a) = a^2$ , but this is true since

$$\begin{aligned} (a + (-a))a &= a^2 + (-a)a \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely what we wanted to show. We conclude that  $(-a)^2 = a^2$ , and so if we substitute  $a = 1$  we get that  $(-1)^2 = 1$ .

## 6. PROBLEM 6

**6.1. Part a. Prove that a finite integral domain is a field.** Let  $R$  be a finite integral domain. Choose  $x \in R \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and enumerate the list  $\{x, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^m, \dots\}$ . Since  $R$  is finite, this list cannot be indefinitely distinct; that is,  $\exists k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^n = x^k$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $k < n$  so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^n = x^k &\Rightarrow x^{n-k}x^k = x^k \\
 &\Rightarrow x^{n-k}x^k - x^k = 0 \\
 (9) \quad &\Rightarrow (x^{n-k} - 1)x^k = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

**Claim 1.**  $x^k \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, assume that  $x^k = 0$  and write  $x^k = xx^{k-1} = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain and we assumed  $x \neq 0$  then  $x^{k-1} = 0$ . By backwards induction, assume that  $x^{j+1} = 0$  for  $(j+1) < n$ . Then  $x^{j+1} = xx^j = 0$  implies that  $x^j = 0$ . Hence  $x^j = 0$  for all  $j < n$ . However, this is a contradiction since inductively, we find that  $x^2 = xx = 0$  but this cannot be the case since  $x \neq 0$  and  $R$  is an integral domain. We conclude that  $x^k \neq 0$ .  $\square$

But if  $x^k \neq 0$  then (9) implies that  $x^{n-k} = 1$ , so in particular  $xx^{n-k-1} = 1$  which implies that  $x$  is a unit with inverse  $x^{n-k-1}$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary, this must hold for all elements of  $R$  and we conclude that  $R$  is a field.

**6.2. Part b. Prove that in a finite commutative ring, every prime ideal is maximal.** Let  $R$  be a finite commutative ring and  $P$  a prime ideal. By definition (or equivalent consequence) we know that  $R/P$  is an finite integral domain. By part (a), it follows that  $R/P$  is a field, and so  $P$  is in fact maximal.

## 7. PROBLEM 7

A ring  $R$  is called a Boolean ring if  $a^2 = a$  for all  $a \in R$ .

**7.1. Part a. Prove that every Boolean ring is commutative.** Let  $R$  be a Boolean ring. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1+1)^2 &= (1+1)(1+1) \\
 &= 1+1+1+1 && \text{by expanding} \\
 &= 1+1 && \text{since the ring is Boolean}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 1 + 1$  implies that  $1 + 1 = 0$  so  $1 = -1$ . Now let  $a, b \in R$  and conduct the exact same calculation to find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a + b)^2 &= (a + b)(a + b) \\
 &= a^2 + b^2 + ab + ba \\
 &= a + b + ab + ba && \text{since } a^2 = a, b^2 = b \\
 &= a + b && \text{since the ring is Boolean}
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $a + b = a + b + ab + ba$  and so by cancelling the  $a$  and  $b$  we get that  $ab + ba = 0$  so  $ab = -ba$ . However, we showed earlier that  $1 = -1$  so  $ab = -ba = (-1)ba = ba$  and we conclude the ring is commutative.

**7.2. Part b. Prove that the only Boolean ring that is also an integral domain is  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ .**

Let  $R$  be a Boolean ring which is also an integral domain and take  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 a^2 = a &\Rightarrow a^2 - a = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow a(a - 1) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a \neq 0$  this means that  $a - 1 = 0$  so  $a = 1$ . We conclude that all non-zero elements are the multiplicative identity so  $R = \{0, 1\}$  which is clearly  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  as required.

## 8. PROBLEM 8