



A Poly-Time Knot Polynomial Via Solvable Approximation

Work in Progress! Fluid! Help Needed!

Abstract. Rozansky [Ro2] and Overbay [Ov] described a **spectacular** knot polynomial that failed to attract the attention it deserved as the first poly-time-computable knot polynomial since Alexander's [Al, 1928] and (in my opinion) as the second most likely knot polynomial (after Alexander's) to carry topological information. With Roland van der Veen, I will explain how to compute the Rozansky polynomial using some new commutator-calculus techniques and a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_1 which is at the same time solvable and an approximation of the simple Lie algebra sl_2 .



$U \in \mathcal{T}_n \xrightarrow{\tau} 1 \in \mathcal{A}_n \xrightarrow{\kappa} T$
 $\mathcal{T}_{2n} \xrightarrow{z} \mathcal{A}_{2n} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \text{with } \mathcal{R} := \kappa(\tau^{-1}(1))$
 ribbon $K \in \mathcal{T}_1 \quad z(K) \in \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$
 Faster is better, leaner is meaner!
 $A^+ = -j^8 + 2i^7 - i^6 - 2i^4 + 5i^3 - 2i^2 - 7i + 13$
 $\rho_1^+ = 5i^{15} - 18i^{14} + 33i^{13} - 32i^{12} + 2i^{11} + 42i^{10} - 62i^9 - 8i^8 + 166i^7 - 242i^6 + 108i^5 + 132i^4 - 226i^3 + 148i^2 - 11i - 36$

Theorem ([BNG], conjectured [MM], elucidated [Ro1]). Let $J_d(K)$ be the coloured Jones polynomial of K , in the d -dimensional representation of sl_2 . Writing

$$\left. \frac{(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})J_d(K)}{q^{d/2} - q^{-d/2}} \right|_{q=e^h} = \sum_{j,m \geq 0} a_{jm}(K) d^j h^m,$$

“below diagonal” coefficients vanish, $a_{jm}(K) = 0$ if $j > m$, and “on diagonal” coefficients give the inverse of the Alexander polynomial: $(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{mm}(K) h^m) \cdot A(K)(e^h) = 1$.

“Above diagonal” we have **Rozansky's Theorem** [Ro3, (1.2)]:

$$J_d(K)(q) = \frac{q^d - q^{-d}}{(q - q^{-1})A(K)(q^d)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q-1)^k R_k(K)(q^d)}{A^{2k}(K)(q^d)} \right).$$

Why “spectacular”? Foremost reason: **OBVIOUSLY**. Cf. proving (incomputable A)=(incomputable B), or categorifying (incomputable C). Also, will bound **genus** and may disprove **{ribbon} = {slice}**.

(v-)Tangles.

$(T_1, T_2) \xrightarrow{\sqcup} T_1 \sqcup T_2$
 $T \xrightarrow{m_c^{ab}} T$
 (meta-associativity: $m_x^{ab} // m_y^{xc} = m_x^{bc} // m_y^{ax}$)
 (tangles are generated by \curvearrowright and \curvearrowleft)

Genus.

a ribbon singularity \checkmark a clasp singularity \times
 example [BN2]

A bit about ribbon knots. A “ribbon knot” is a knot that can be presented as the boundary of a disk that has “ribbon singularities”, but no “clasp singularities”. A “slice knot” is a knot in $S^3 = \partial B^4$ which is the boundary of a non-singular disk in B^4 . Every ribbon knots is clearly slice, yet,

Conjecture. Some slice knots are not ribbon.

Fox-Milnor. The Alexander polynomial of a ribbon knot is always of the form $A(t) = f(t)f(1/t)$. (also for slice)



“God created the knots, all else in topology is the work of mortals.”

Leopold Kronecker (modified)

www.katlas.org



The Gold Standard is set by the “T-calculus” Alexander formulas [BNS, BN1]. An S -component tangle T has

$\Gamma(T) \in R_S \times M_{S \times S}(R_S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} \omega & S \\ \hline S & A \end{array} \right\}$ with $R_S := \mathbb{Z}\langle t_a : a \in S \rangle$:
 $(a \curvearrowright b, b \curvearrowleft a) \rightarrow \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & a & b \\ \hline a & 1 & 1 - t_a^{\pm 1} \\ b & 0 & t_a^{\pm 1} \end{array} \quad T_1 \sqcup T_2 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c|cc} \omega_1 \omega_2 & S_1 & S_2 \\ \hline S_1 & A_1 & 0 \\ S_2 & 0 & A_2 \end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{c|ccc} \omega & a & b & S \\ \hline a & \alpha & \beta & \theta \\ b & \gamma & \delta & \epsilon \\ S & \phi & \psi & \Xi \end{array} \xrightarrow{m_c^{ab}} \begin{array}{c|ccc} (1-\beta)\omega & c & S \\ \hline c & \gamma + \frac{\alpha\delta}{1-\beta} & \epsilon + \frac{\delta\theta}{1-\beta} \\ S & \phi + \frac{\alpha\psi}{1-\beta} & \Xi + \frac{\psi\theta}{1-\beta} \end{array}$
 $t_a, t_b \rightarrow t_c$

(Roland: “add to A the product of column b and row a , divide by $(1 - A_{ab})$, delete column b and row a .”)

For long knots, ω is Alexander, and that's the fastest Alexander algorithm I know! Dunfield: 1000-crossing fast.

(There are also formulas for strand doubling and strand reversal).

Theorem [EK, Ha, En, Se]. There is a “homomorphic expansion”

$Z: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S\text{-component} \\ (v/b)\text{-tangles} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_S^v :=$
 $AS: \begin{array}{c} \text{Y} + \text{X} = 0 \\ \text{X} = \text{Y} \end{array}$
 $STU: \begin{array}{c} \text{Y} = \text{X} - \text{Z} \\ \text{Z} = \text{Y} \end{array}$
 $IHX: \begin{array}{c} \text{Y} = \text{X} - \text{Z} \\ \text{Z} = \text{Y} \end{array}$

Algebras and Invariants. Given any unital algebra A (even better if A is Hopf; typically, $A \sim \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})$), appropriate orange $R \in A \otimes A$, and appropriate cuaps $\epsilon \in A$, get an $A^{\otimes S}$ -valued invariant of pure S -component tangles:

$T_0 \rightarrow Z = \sum$
 with $\bullet : c$, $\circ : u$, $\square : w$, $- : b$

Good News. In theory, enough to know R , the cuaps, and stitching/multiplication $m_k^{ij}: A_i \otimes A_j \rightarrow A_k$.

Problem. Extract information out of Z .

Textbook Solution. Use representation theory ... works, slowly.

Today's Solution (with van der Veen). For some specific \mathfrak{g} 's, work in a space of “formulas of a specific type” for elements of $\hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes S}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ordered perturbed} \\ \text{Gaussian formulas} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \hat{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes S}$$

van der Veen

