Unfortunately, dim  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}, X) = \dim \Lambda(\mathcal{X}, X) = 4^{|X|}$  is big. Fortunately, we have the following theorem, a version of one of the main results in Halacheva's thesis, [Ha1, Ha2]:

**Theorem.** Working in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{X} \cup X)$ , if  $w = \omega e^{\lambda}$  is a balanced Gaussian (namely, a scalar  $\omega$  times the exponential of a quadratic  $\lambda = \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{X}, z \in X} \alpha_{\zeta, z} \zeta z$ ), then generically so is  $c_{x,\xi} e^{\lambda}$ .

Thus we have an almost-always-defined " $\Gamma$ -calculus": a contraction algebra morphism  $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{X}, X) \to R \times (\mathcal{X} \otimes_{R/R} X)$  whose behaviour under contractions is

 $c_{x,\xi}(\omega,\lambda=\mu+\eta x+\xi y+\alpha\xi x)=((1-\alpha)\omega,\mu+\eta y/(1-\alpha)).$ 

( $\Gamma$  is fully defined on pure tangles – tangles without closed components – and

(This is great news! The space of balanced quadratics is only  $|\mathcal{X}||X|$ -dimensional!)

**Proof.** Recall that  $c_{x,\xi}$ :  $(1, \xi, x, x\xi)w' \mapsto (1, 0, 0, 1)w'$ , write  $\lambda = \mu + \eta x + \xi y + \alpha \xi x$ , and ponder  $e^{\lambda} =$ 

$$\dots + \frac{1}{k!} \underbrace{(\mu + \eta x + \xi y + \alpha \xi x)(\mu + \eta x + \xi y + \alpha \xi x) \cdots (\mu + \eta x + \xi y + \alpha \xi x)}_{k \text{ factors}} + \dots$$

Then  $c_{\mathrm{x},\xi}\mathrm{e}^\lambda$  has three contributions:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $e^{\mu}$ , from the term proportional to 1 (namely, independent of  $\xi$  and x) in  $e^{\lambda}$
- ▶  $-\alpha e^{\mu}$ , from the term proportional to  $x\xi$ , where the x and the  $\xi$  come from the same factor above.
- ηye<sup>μ</sup>, from the term proportional to xξ, where the x and the ξ come from different factors above.

So  $c_{x,\xi} e^{\lambda} = e^{\mu} (1 - \alpha + \eta y) = (1 - \alpha) e^{\mu} (1 + \eta y/(1 - \alpha)) = (1 - \alpha) e^{\mu} e^{\eta y/(1 - \alpha)} = (1 - \alpha) e^{\mu + \eta y/(1 - \alpha)}.$ 

## **Γ-calculus**.

given by

hence on long knots).

6. An Implementation of  $\Gamma$ .

If I didn't implement I wouldn't believe myself.

Written in Mathematica [Wo], available as the notebook Gamma.nb at <a href="http://drorbn.net/mo21/ap">http://drorbn.net/mo21/ap</a>. Code lines are highlighted in grey, demo lines are plain. We start with canonical forms for quadratics with rational function coefficients:

CCF[8\_] := Factor[8];

 $\mathsf{CF}[\mathscr{S}_] := \mathsf{Module}[\{\mathsf{vs} = \mathsf{Union}@\mathsf{Cases}[\mathscr{S}, (\boldsymbol{\xi} \mid \mathsf{x})_{}, \infty]\},$ 

Total[(CCF[#[[2]]] (Times @@ vs<sup>#[[1]</sup>)) & /@ CoefficientRules[&, vs]]];

Multiplying and comparing Γ objects: r /: r[is1\_, os1\_, cs1\_, ω1\_, λ1\_] × r[is2\_, os2\_, cs2\_, ω2\_, λ2\_] := r[is1Uis2, os1Uos2, Join[cs1, cs2], ω1 ω2, λ1 + λ2] r /: r[is1\_, os1\_, \_, ω1\_, λ1\_] = r[is2\_, os2\_, \_, ω2\_, λ2\_] := TrueQ[(Sort@is1 === Sort@is2) ∧ (Sort@os1 === Sort@os2) ∧ Simplify[ω1 == ω2] ∧ CF@A1 == CF@A2] N = when the intermention

No rules for linear operations!

Contractions:  $\begin{aligned} c_{h_{-},t_{-}} & \oplus \Gamma[is_{-}, os_{-}, cs_{-}, \omega_{-}, \lambda_{-}] := Module[\{\alpha, \eta, y, \mu\}, \\ \alpha &= \partial_{\xi_{1},x_{h}}\lambda; \ \mu = \lambda /. \ \xi_{t} \mid x_{h} \rightarrow 0; \\ \eta &= \partial_{x_{h}}\lambda /. \ \xi_{t} \rightarrow 0; \ y &= \partial_{\xi_{t}}\lambda /. \ x_{h} \rightarrow 0; \\ \Gamma[ \\ DeleteCases[is, t], DeleteCases[os, h], KeyDrop[cs, \{x_{h}, \xi_{t}\}], \\ CCF[((1-\alpha)\omega], CF[(\mu + \eta y / (1-\alpha)]] \\ ] /. If[MatchQ[cs[\xi_{t}], \tau_{-}], cs[\xi_{t}] \rightarrow cs[x_{h}], cs[x_{h}] \rightarrow cs[\xi_{t}]]]; \\ c \oplus \Gamma[is_{-}, os_{-}, cs_{-}, \omega_{-}, \lambda_{-}] := Fold[c_{x2,x2}[\#1] \&, \Gamma[is, os, cs, \omega, \lambda], is \cap os] \end{aligned}$ 

The crossings and the point:
$\mathbf{\Gamma}[\mathbf{X}_{i_{\_},j_{\_},k_{\_},l_{\_}}[S_{\_},T_{\_}]] := \mathbf{\Gamma}[\{l, i\}, \{j, k\}, \langle  \xi_i \rightarrow S, \mathbf{x}_j \rightarrow T, \mathbf{x}_k \rightarrow S, \xi_l \rightarrow T \rangle,$
$T^{-1/2}$ , $CF\left[\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{l},  \boldsymbol{\xi}_{l}\}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 - T \\ \boldsymbol{\theta} & T \end{pmatrix}, \{\mathbf{x}_{j},  \mathbf{x}_{k}\}\right]$ ;
$\mathbf{\Gamma}\left[\overline{X}_{i_{\_},j_{\_},k_{\_},l_{\_}}[S_{\_}, T_{\_}]\right] := \mathbf{\Gamma}\left[\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}, \langle  \xi_i \rightarrow S, \xi_j \rightarrow T, \mathbf{x}_k \rightarrow S, \mathbf{x}_l \rightarrow T  \rangle,\right]$
$T^{1/2}$ , $CF\left[\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i,\boldsymbol{\xi}_j\},\begin{pmatrix} T^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\theta} \\ 1 - T^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix},\{x_k,x_l\}\right]$ ;
$\Gamma[\mathbf{X}_{i_{j},k_{l},l_{l}}] := \Gamma[\mathbf{X}_{i,j,k,l}[\tau_{i}, \tau_{l}]];$
$\Gamma[\overline{X}_{i_j,k_j,l_j}] := \Gamma[\overline{X}_{i_j,k_j,l}[\tau_i, \tau_j]];$
$\mathbf{\Gamma}[\mathbf{P}_{i_{-},j_{-}}[\mathcal{T}_{-}]] := \mathbf{\Gamma}[\{i\}, \{j\}, \langle  \xi_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}, \mathbf{x}_{j} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}  \rangle, 1, \xi_{i} \mathbf{x}_{j}];$
$\Gamma[P_{i_{-},j_{-}}] := \Gamma[P_{i_{+},j}[\tau_{i}]];$

Automatic intelligent contractions:

Video and more at http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/MoscowByWeb-2104/