

Pensieve header: A unified verification notebook for the PPSA project.

Continues pensieve://2017-06/ and pensieve://2017-08/.

Prolog

Go;

```
wdir = SetDirectory["C:\\drorbn\\AcademicPensieve\\Projects\\PPSA"];
NotebookOpen[wdir <> "\\MakeVSnips.nb"];
```

```
HL[ $\mathcal{E}$ _] := Style[ $\mathcal{E}$ , Background → Yellow];
```

Initialization / Utilities

The “degree carrier / filtration parameter” is \hbar , and all “coupling constants” are proportional to it.

TD

```
$T\hbar D = 2;  $\hbar$  /:  $\hbar^{d_-}$  /;  $d > \$T\hbar D$  := 0;
 $\mathcal{E} D = 1$ ;  $\epsilon$  /:  $\epsilon^{d_-}$  /;  $d > \$T\epsilon D$  := 0;
SetAttributes[SS, HoldAll];
SS[ $\mathcal{E}$ _] := Block[{ $\hbar$ ,  $\epsilon$ }, (* Shielded Series *)
  Collect[Normal@Series[ $\mathcal{E}$  /. { $T_{i_-} \rightarrow e^{\hbar t_{i_-}/2}$ ,  $T \rightarrow e^{\hbar t/2}$ }, { $\hbar$ , 0,  $\$T\hbar D$ }],  $\hbar$ , Together] ]
Simp[ $\mathcal{E}$ _] := Collect[ $\mathcal{E}$ , _CU | _QU, Expand];
```

Differential polynomials (DP):

Utils

```
DP $_{\alpha \rightarrow D_{x_-}, \beta \rightarrow D_{y_-}}$ [ $P_-$ ][ $\lambda_-$ ] :=
  Total[CoefficientRules[P, { $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ }] /. ({ $m_-$ ,  $n_-$ } →  $c_-$ ) ⇒  $c D[\lambda, \{x, m\}, \{y, n\}]$ ]
```

DeclareAlgebra

QLImplementation

```
Unprotect[NonCommutativeMultiply]; Attributes[NonCommutativeMultiply] = {};
(NCM = NonCommutativeMultiply)[ $x_-$ ] :=  $x$ ;
NCM[ $x_-$ ,  $y_-$ ,  $z_-$ ] := ( $x$  **  $y$ ) **  $z$ ;
0 ** _ = _ ** 0 = 0;
( $x\_Plus$ ) **  $y_-$  := ( $\#$  **  $y$ ) & /@  $x$ ;  $x_-$  ** ( $y\_Plus$ ) := ( $x$  **  $\#$ ) & /@  $y$ ;
B[ $x_-$ ,  $x_-$ ] = 0; B[ $x_-$ ,  $y_-$ ] :=  $x$  **  $y$  -  $y$  **  $x$ ;
```

QLImplementation

```

DeclareAlgebra[U_Symbol, opts__Rule] := Module[{gp, sr, cp, CE, pow,
  gs = Generators /. {opts}, cs = Centrals /. {opts}
},
  gp = Alternatives @@ gs;
  gp = gp | gp; (* generators pattern *)
  sr = Thread[gs → Range@Length@gs]; (* sorting rule *)
  cp = Alternatives @@ cs; (* centrals pattern *)
  CE[_] := Collect[_] /. {u, x_} → {u, x};
  U_i[_] := _ /. {t : cp} → {t, u_U} → Replace[u, x_ → x_i, 1];
  U_i[NCM[]] := U[];
  B[U@(x_)_i, U@(y_)_i] := B[U@x_i, U@y_i] = U_i@B[U@x, U@y];
  B[U@(x_)_i, U@(y_)_j] /; i != j := 0;
  B[U@y_, U@x_] := CE[-B[U@x, U@y]];
  x_ ** U[] := x; U[] ** x_ := x;
  (a_ * x_U) ** (b_ * y_U) := If[a b == 0, 0, CE[a b (x ** y)]];
  (a_ * x_U) ** y_ := CE[a (x ** y)]; x_ ** (a_ * y_U) := CE[a (x ** y)];
  U[xx_, x_] ** U[y_, yy_] := If[OrderedQ[{x, y} /. sr],
    U[xx, x, y, yy], U@xx ** (U@y ** U@x + B[U@x, U@y]) ** U@yy];
  U@{c_. * (l : gp)^n_, r___} /; FreeQ[c, gp] := CE[c U@Table[l, {n}] ** U@{r}];
  U@{c_. * l : gp, r___} := CE[c U[l] ** U@{r}];
  U@{c_, r___} /; FreeQ[c, gp] := CE[c U@{r}];
  U@{} = U[];
  U@{l_Plus, r___} := CE[U@{#, r} & /@ l];
  U@{l_, r___} := U@{Expand[l], r};
  U[_NonCommutativeMultiply] := U /@ _;
  O_U[poly_, specs___] := Module[{sp, null, vs, us},
    sp = Replace[{specs}, l_List → l_null, {1}];
    vs = Join@@(First /@ sp);
    us = Join@@(sp /. l_s_ → (l /. x_i_ → x_s));
    CE[Total[
      CoefficientRules[poly, vs] /. (p_ → c_) → c U@(us^p)
    ]] /. x_null → x
  ];
  pow[_] = U[]; pow[_] := pow[_] - 1 ** _;
  S_U[_] := CE@Total[
    CoefficientRules[_] /. {ss} /@ {ss} /.
      (p_ → c_) → c NCM@@MapThread[pow, {Last /@ {ss}, p}]]];
  S_i[c_. * u_U] := CE[(c /. S_i[U, Centrals]) DeleteCases[u, _i] **
    U_i[NCM@@Reverse@Cases[u, x_i_ → S@U@x]]];
]

```

DeclareMorphism

QLImplementation

```
DeclareMorphism[m_, U_ → V_, ongs_List, oncs_List: {}] := (
  Replace[ongs, (g_ → img_) := (m[U[g]] = img), {1}];
  m[U[]] = V[];
  m[U[g_i]] := V_i[m[U@g]];
  m[U[vs_]] := NCM@@(m/@U/@{vs});
  m[ε_] := Simp[ε /. oncs /. u_U := m[u]];
```

Meta-Operations

QLImplementation

```
S_i_[ε_Plus] := Simp[S_i_/@ε];
```

Implementing $sl_2^{\vee \epsilon}$

CU

```
DeclareAlgebra[CU, Generators → {y, a, x}, Centrals → {t}];
B[CU@a, CU@y] = -γ CU@y; B[CU@x, CU@a] = -γ CU@x;
B[CU@x, CU@y] = 2 ∈ CU@a - t CU[];
(S@CU@y = -CU@y; S@CU@a = -CU@a; S@CU@x = -CU@x);
S_i_[CU, Centrals] = {t_i → -t_i};
```

Verifying associativity on triples of generators:

```
With[{bas = CU/@{y, a, x}},
  Table[z1 ** (z2 ** z3) - (z1 ** z2) ** z3 // Simp // HL,
    {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}, {z3, bas}]]
{{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}},
 {{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}, {{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}}
```

Verifying associativity on a “random” triple:

```
With[{z1 = CU[y, y, a, a, x, x], z2 = CU[y, a, x], z3 = CU[y, y, a, x]}, {
  (rhs = (z1 ** z2) ** z3 // Simp) // Short,
  z1 ** (z2 ** z3) - rhs // Simp // HL
}] // Timing
{0.703125, {{(28 t^2 γ^4 + 116 t γ^5 ∈ + 120 γ^6 ∈^2) CU[y, y, y, x, x] +
  <<23>> + CU[y, y, y, y, y, a, a, a, x, x, x, x], 0}}}
```

```
S_1[CU[y_1, a_1, x_1]]
```

```
-2 ∈ CU[a_1, a_1] + 2 γ CU[y_1, x_1] - CU[y_1, a_1, x_1] - γ CU[] t_1 + CU[a_1] (2 γ ∈ + t_1)
```

Verifying that S is an anti-homomorphism on CU:

```
With[{bas = CU /@ {y1, a1, x1}},
  Table[HL@Simp[S1[z1 ** z2] - S1[z2] ** S1[z1]],
    {z1, bas}, {z2, bas} ] ]
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}
```

Verifying the involutivity of S on products of triples:

```
With[{bas = CU /@ {y1, a1, x1}},
  Table[HL@Simp[z1 ** z2 ** z3 - S1@S1[z1 ** z2 ** z3]],
    {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}, {z3, bas} ] ]
{{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}},
 {{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}, {{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}}
```

Representing $sl_2^{\gamma\epsilon}$

$$\rho t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \rho x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \rho a = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma+1/\epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma+1/\epsilon \end{pmatrix}; \quad \rho y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

```
{\rho a.\rho x - \rho x.\rho a == \gamma \rho x, \rho a.\rho y - \rho y.\rho a == -\gamma \rho y, \rho x.\rho y - \rho y.\rho x == 2 \epsilon \rho a - \rho t} // Simplify
{True, True, True}
```

Crho

```
{C\rho@y, C\rho@a, C\rho@x} = {{0 0}, {\gamma 0}, {0 \gamma}};
C\rho[\_]\_ := \_ / . {t \to \gamma \_, CU[u\_]\_ \to Dot[{1 0}, Sequence@@(C\rho /@ {u})] ] }
```

Verifying that $C\rho$ represents CU:

```
With[{bas = CU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[C\rho[z1 ** z2] == C\rho[z1].C\rho[z2] // Simplify // HL,
    {z1, bas}, {z2, bas} ] ]
{{True, True, True}, {True, True, True}, {True, True, True}}

MatrixForm /@ {C\rho@y, C\rho@a, C\rho@x}
{{0 0}, {\gamma 0}, {0 \gamma}}
```

Implementing $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma\epsilon; \hbar}$

With $q = e^{\hbar\gamma\epsilon}$, $A = e^{-\hbar\epsilon a}$, $T = e^{\hbar/2}$, and $[f, g]_q := fg - qgf$, our algebra is $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma\epsilon; \hbar} = \langle t, y, a, x \rangle / \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{R} = ([t, *] = 0, [a, y] = -\gamma y, [x, y]_q = (1 - T^2 A^2) / \hbar, [x, a] = -\gamma x)$.

QU

```

DeclareAlgebra[QU, Generators → {y, a, x}, Centrals → {t, T}];
q = SS[eγεħ]; (*T=SS[eħt/2];*)
B[QU@a, QU@y] = -γ QU@y; B[QU@x, QU@a] = -γ QU@x;
B[QU@x, QU@y] = (q - 1) QU@{y, x} + OQU[SS[(1 - T2e-2εaħ)/ħ], {a}];
(S@QU@y = OQU[SS[-T-2eħεay], {a, y}];
S@QU@a = -QU@a; S@QU@x = OQU[SS[-eħεax], {a, x}];)
Si[QU, Centrals] = {ti → -ti, Ti → Ti-1};

```

```
With[{bas = QU /@ {y, a, x}}, Table[{z1, z2} → Simp[z1 ** z2 - z2 ** z1], {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}]]
```

```

{{ {QU[y], QU[y]} → 0, {QU[y], QU[a]} → γ QU[y], {QU[y], QU[x]} →
  (t +  $\frac{t^2 \hbar}{2} + \frac{t^3 \hbar^2}{6} + \frac{t^4 \hbar^3}{24} + \frac{t^5 \hbar^4}{120}$ ) QU[] + (-2ε - 2tεħ - t2εħ2 -  $\frac{1}{3}$ t3εħ3 -  $\frac{1}{12}$ t4εħ4) QU[a] +
  (2ε2ħ + 2tε2ħ2 + t2ε2ħ3 +  $\frac{1}{3}$ t3ε2ħ4) QU[a, a] + (-γεħ -  $\frac{1}{2}$ γ2ε2ħ2) QU[y, x] },
  {{QU[a], QU[y]} → -γ QU[y], {QU[a], QU[a]} → 0, {QU[a], QU[x]} → γ QU[x] },
  {{QU[x], QU[y]} →
    (-t -  $\frac{t^2 \hbar}{2} - \frac{t^3 \hbar^2}{6} - \frac{t^4 \hbar^3}{24} - \frac{t^5 \hbar^4}{120}$ ) QU[] + (2ε + 2tεħ + t2εħ2 +  $\frac{1}{3}$ t3εħ3 +  $\frac{1}{12}$ t4εħ4) QU[a] +
    (-2ε2ħ - 2tε2ħ2 - t2ε2ħ3 -  $\frac{1}{3}$ t3ε2ħ4) QU[a, a] + (γεħ +  $\frac{1}{2}$ γ2ε2ħ2) QU[y, x] },
  {{QU[x], QU[a]} → -γ QU[x], {QU[x], QU[x]} → 0} }

```

Verifying associativity on triples of generators:

```

With[{bas = QU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[HL[z1 ** (z2 ** z3) - (z1 ** z2) ** z3] // Simp,
    {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}, {z3, bas}]]
{{ {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0} },
  {{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0} }, {{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0} }}

```

Verifying associativity on a "random" triple (~34 secs @ \$TħD=5, \$TεD=2):

```

With[{z1 = QU[y, y, a, a, x, x], z2 = QU[y, a, x], z3 = QU[y, y, a, x]}, {
  (rhs = (z1 ** z2) ** z3 // Simp) // Short,
  HL[z1 ** (z2 ** z3) - rhs // Simp]
}] // Timing
{66.7031,
  { (28 t2 γ4 + 116 t γ5ε + 120 γ6ε2 + <<10>> +  $\frac{757}{15}$  t5 γ5εħ4 +  $\frac{1785}{4}$  t4 γ6ε2ħ4) QU[y, y, y, x, x] +
  <<21>> + <<1>>, 0 } }

```

Verifying that S is an anti-homomorphism on QU:

```
With[{bas = QU /@ {y1, a1, x1}},
  Table[{z1, z2} → HL@Simp[S1[z1 ** z2] - S1[z2] ** S1[z1]],
    {z1, bas}, {z2, bas} ] ]
{{QU[y1], QU[y1]} → 0, {QU[y1], QU[a1]} → 0, {QU[y1], QU[x1]} → 0},
{{QU[a1], QU[y1]} → 0, {QU[a1], QU[a1]} → 0, {QU[a1], QU[x1]} → 0},
{{QU[x1], QU[y1]} → 0, {QU[x1], QU[a1]} → 0, {QU[x1], QU[x1]} → 0}}
```

Verifying that $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} QU = CU$ using a “random” product (~23 secs @ \$ThD=5, \$TeD=2):

```
With[{z1 = CU[y, y, a, a, x, x], z2 = CU[y, a, x], z3 = CU[y, y, a, x]}, {
  Short[lhs = z1 ** (z2 ** z3)],
  Short[rhs = (QU@@z1) ** ((QU@@z2) ** (QU@@z3))],
  Expand[Limit[rhs /. {QU → CU, T → e^{\hbar t/2}}, \hbar → 0] - lhs] // HL
}] // Timing
{51.0313,
  {2 (8 t^2 \gamma^4 + 16 t \gamma^5 \epsilon) CU[y, y, y, x, x] + <<107>> + CU[y, y, y, y, y, a, a, a, a, x, x, x, x],
  2 (8 t \gamma^6 \epsilon^2 \hbar + 12 t^2 \gamma^6 \epsilon^2 \hbar^2 + \frac{28}{3} t^3 \gamma^6 \epsilon^2 \hbar^3 + 5 t^4 \gamma^6 \epsilon^2 \hbar^4) QU[y, y, y, x, x] +
  <<566>> + (<<1>>) <<1>>, 0}}
```

Representing $\mathcal{U}_{Y\epsilon; \hbar}$

Qrho

```
{Qo@y, Qo@a, Qo@x} = {{0 0}, {\gamma 0}, {0 SS@ \frac{1-e^{-Y\epsilon \hbar}}{\epsilon \hbar}}};
Qo[\mathcal{E}_-] := \mathcal{E} /. {t → Y \epsilon, QU[u_<_>] => Dot[{1 0}, Sequence@@(Qo/@{u})]}
```

Verifying that $C\rho$ represents CU :

```
With[{bas = QU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[Qo[z1 ** z2] == Qo[z1].Qo[z2] // Simplify // HL,
    {z1, bas}, {z2, bas} ] ]
{{True, True, True}, {True, True, True}, {True, True, True}}
```

MatrixForm /@ {Qo@y, Qo@a, Qo@x}

```
{ {0 0}, {\gamma 0}, {0 \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \epsilon \hbar + \frac{1}{6} \gamma^3 \epsilon^2 \hbar^2} }
```

Implementing θ

theta

```
DeclareMorphism[Ce, CU → CU, {y → -CU@x, a → -CU@a, x → -CU@y}, {t → -t, T → T^{-1}}];
DeclareMorphism[Qe, QU → QU, {y → Qqu[SS[-T^{-1} e^{\hbar \epsilon a} x], {a, x}],
  a → -QU@a, x → Qqu[SS[-T^{-1} e^{\hbar \epsilon a} y], {a, y}], {t → -t, T → T^{-1}}]
```

Verifying involutivity on CU :

```
With[{bas = CU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[z → Cθ[z] → HL[Cθ[Cθ[z]]], {z, bas}] ]
{CU[y] → -CU[x] → CU[y], CU[a] → -CU[a] → CU[a], CU[x] → -CU[y] → CU[x]}
```

Verifying that θ is a multiplicative homomorphism on CU:

```
With[{bas = CU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[Cθ[z1 ** z2] - Cθ[z1] ** Cθ[z2] // HL, {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}] ]
{{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}}
```

Verifying involutivity on QU:

```
With[{bas = QU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[z → Qθ[z] → HL[Qθ[Qθ[z]]], {z, bas}] ]
{QU[y] →
  (-1 + t h / 2 - t^2 h^2 / 8 + t^3 h^3 / 48 - t^4 h^4 / 384) QU[x] + (-ε h + t / 2 t ε h^2 - t^2 ε h^3 / 8 + t^3 ε h^4 / 48) QU[a, x] +
  (-1 / 2 ε^2 h^2 + t / 4 t ε^2 h^3 - t^2 ε^2 h^4 / 16) QU[a, a, x] → QU[y],
  QU[a] → -QU[a] → QU[a], QU[x] → (-1 + t h / 2 + γ ε h - t^2 h^2 / 8 - t / 2 t γ ε h^2 - t^2 γ^2 ε^2 h^2 / 2 +
  t^3 h^3 / 48 + t / 8 t^2 γ ε h^3 + t / 4 t γ^2 ε^2 h^3 - t^4 h^4 / 384 - t^3 γ ε h^4 / 48 - t^2 γ^2 ε^2 h^4 / 16) QU[y] +
  (-ε h + t / 2 t ε h^2 + γ ε^2 h^2 - t^2 ε h^3 / 8 - t / 2 t γ ε^2 h^3 + t^3 ε h^4 / 48 + t^2 γ ε^2 h^4 / 8) QU[y, a] +
  (-1 / 2 ε^2 h^2 + t / 4 t ε^2 h^3 - t^2 ε^2 h^4 / 16) QU[y, a, a] → QU[x]}
```

Verifying that θ is a multiplicative homomorphism on QU:

```
With[{bas = QU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[{z1, z2} → HL[Simp[Qθ[z1 ** z2] - Qθ[z1] ** Qθ[z2]]], {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}] ]
{{{QU[y], QU[y]} → 0, {QU[y], QU[a]} → 0, {QU[y], QU[x]} → 0},
 {{QU[a], QU[y]} → 0, {QU[a], QU[a]} → 0, {QU[a], QU[x]} → 0},
 {{QU[x], QU[y]} → 0, {QU[x], QU[a]} → 0, {QU[x], QU[x]} → 0}}
```

The Asymmetric Dequantizer

Following pensieve://People/VanDerVeen/Dequant1.pdf.

ADeq

$$\text{AD}\$f = \frac{\gamma}{h} e^{\frac{h}{2} \left(\frac{t}{2} - (a+\gamma) \epsilon \right)} \left(\left(\cosh \left[h \left(a \epsilon + \frac{\gamma \epsilon}{2} - \frac{t}{2} \right) \right] - \cosh \left[h \sqrt{\left(\frac{t - \gamma \epsilon}{2} \right)^2 + \epsilon \omega} \right] \right) / \right. \\ \left. \left(\sinh \left[\frac{\gamma \epsilon h}{2} \right] (a^2 \epsilon + a \gamma \epsilon - a t - \omega) \right) \right);$$

SS[AD\$f] // Simplify

$$\frac{1}{720} \left(720 + 360 (t - 2(a + \gamma) \epsilon) \hbar + 60 (2t^2 - 7t(a + \gamma) \epsilon + \epsilon (7a^2 \epsilon + 13a\gamma \epsilon + 6\gamma^2 \epsilon + \omega)) \hbar^2 + \right. \\ \left. 30 (t^3 - 5t^2(a + \gamma) \epsilon - 2(a + \gamma) \epsilon^2 \omega + t \epsilon (9a^2 \epsilon + 17a\gamma \epsilon + 8\gamma^2 \epsilon + \omega)) \hbar^3 + \right. \\ \left. (6t^4 - 39t^3(a + \gamma) \epsilon - t(32a + 33\gamma) \epsilon^2 \omega + 2\epsilon^2 \omega^2 + t^2 \epsilon (101a^2 \epsilon + 192a\gamma \epsilon + 91\gamma^2 \epsilon + 9\omega)) \hbar^4 \right)$$

Scaling behaviour of AD\$f:

Simplify[AD\$f == ((AD\$f /. $\gamma \rightarrow 1$) /. { $\epsilon \rightarrow \gamma \epsilon$, $a \rightarrow \gamma^{-1} a$, $\omega \rightarrow \gamma^{-1} \omega$ })]

True

FullSimplify[AD\$f == ((AD\$f /. $\gamma \rightarrow 1$) /. { $\hbar \rightarrow \gamma^2 \hbar$, $\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon / \gamma$, $a \rightarrow a / \gamma$, $t \rightarrow \gamma^{-2} t$, $\omega \rightarrow \gamma^{-3} \omega$ })]

True

ADeq

$$\text{AD}\$ \omega = \gamma \text{CU}[y, x] + \epsilon \text{CU}[a, a] - (t - \gamma \epsilon) \text{CU}[a];$$

ADeq

DeclareMorphism[AD, QU \rightarrow CU,
{a \rightarrow CU@a, x \rightarrow CU@x, y \rightarrow S_{CU}[SS[AD\$f], a \rightarrow CU[a], $\omega \rightarrow$ AD\$ ω] ** CU@y}]

Verifying that the asymmetric dequantizer is a homomorphism:

With[{bas = QU /@ {y, a, x}},
Table[{z1, z2} \rightarrow HL[Simp[AD[z1 ** z2] - AD[z1] ** AD[z2]]], {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}]]
 {{{QU[y], QU[y]} \rightarrow 0, {QU[y], QU[a]} \rightarrow 0, {QU[y], QU[x]} \rightarrow 0},
 {{QU[a], QU[y]} \rightarrow 0, {QU[a], QU[a]} \rightarrow 0, {QU[a], QU[x]} \rightarrow 0},
 {{QU[x], QU[y]} \rightarrow 0, {QU[x], QU[a]} \rightarrow 0, {QU[x], QU[x]} \rightarrow 0}}

The Symmetric Dequantizer

Following pensieve://People/VanDerVeen/Dequant1.pdf.

SDeq

$$\text{SD}\$g = \sqrt{\left(\left(\cosh\left[\frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{t^2 + \gamma^2 \epsilon^2 + 4\epsilon \omega}\right] - \cosh\left[\frac{\hbar}{2} (t - (2a + \gamma) \epsilon)\right] \right) / \right. \\ \left. \left(\sinh\left[\frac{\gamma \epsilon \hbar}{2}\right] (t(2a + \gamma) - 2a(a + \gamma) \epsilon + 2\omega) \hbar / (2\gamma) \right) \right)}$$


```

{SD$P = 
$$\frac{\text{Cosh}\left[\hbar\left(\frac{\epsilon-t}{2} + \epsilon a\right)\right] - \text{Cosh}\left[\hbar\sqrt{\frac{t^2+\epsilon^2}{4} + \epsilon w}\right]}{\hbar \text{Sinh}\left[\frac{-\epsilon\hbar}{2}\right] (w - \epsilon a^2 + (t - \epsilon) a + t/2)},$$

Simplify[SD$P == (SD$P /. {a -> -a-1, t -> -t})] // HL,
PowerExpand@Simplify[(SD$P /. {h -> \gamma^2 h, \epsilon -> \epsilon/\gamma, a -> a/\gamma, t -> \gamma^{-2} t, w -> \gamma^{-3} w}) ==
SD$g (SD$g /. {a -> -a-\gamma, t -> -t})] // HL,
SD$Q = Simplify[SD$P /. {a -> c-1/2}],
Simplify[SD$Q == (SD$Q /. {c -> -c, t -> -t})] // HL,
Simplify[SD$g == FullSimplify[

$$\sqrt{\text{SD$Q}} /. c \rightarrow a+1/2 /. \{h \rightarrow \gamma^2 h, \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon/\gamma, a \rightarrow a/\gamma, t \rightarrow \gamma^{-2} t, w \rightarrow \gamma^{-3} w\}]] // HL
}$$

```

$$\left\{ - \left(\left(\left(\text{Cosh}\left[\left(a\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}(-t + \epsilon) \right) \hbar \right] - \text{Cosh}\left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(t^2 + \epsilon^2) + \epsilon w} \hbar \right] \text{Csch}\left[\frac{\epsilon \hbar}{2} \right] \right) / \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} + a(t - \epsilon) - a^2 \epsilon + w \right) \hbar \right) \right), \text{True}, \text{True}, \\ \left. - \left(\left(4 \left(\text{Cosh}\left[\frac{1}{2}(t - 2c\epsilon) \hbar \right] - \text{Cosh}\left[\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{t^2 + \epsilon^2 + 4\epsilon w} \hbar \right] \right) \text{Csch}\left[\frac{\epsilon \hbar}{2} \right] \right) / \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left((4ct + \epsilon - 4c^2\epsilon + 4w) \hbar \right) \right), \text{True}, \text{True} \right\}$$

```
FullSimplify[SD$g /. {h -> \gamma^2 h, \epsilon -> \epsilon/\gamma, a -> a/\gamma, t -> \gamma^{-2} t, w -> \gamma^{-3} w}]
```

$$\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\left(\left(\gamma^2 \left(-\text{Cosh}\left[\frac{1}{2}(t - (2a + \gamma^2)\epsilon) \hbar \right] + \text{Cosh}\left[\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{t^2 + \gamma^4\epsilon^2 + 4\epsilon w} \hbar \right] \right) \text{Csch}\left[\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\epsilon \hbar \right] \right) / \right.} \\ \left. \left((t(2a + \gamma^2) - 2a(a + \gamma^2)\epsilon + 2w) \hbar \right) \right)}$$

```
SS[SD$g]
```

$$1 + \frac{1}{48} (t^2 - 2at\epsilon - t\gamma\epsilon + 2a^2\epsilon^2 + 2a\gamma\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon w) \hbar^2 + \frac{1}{23040} \\ \left(t^4 - 4at^3\epsilon - 2t^3\gamma\epsilon + 16a^2t^2\epsilon^2 + 16at^2\gamma\epsilon^2 - 5t^2\gamma^2\epsilon^2 + 4t^2\epsilon w + 8at\epsilon^2 w + 4t\gamma\epsilon^2 w + 12\epsilon^2 w^2 \right) \hbar^4$$

SDeq

```
SD$f = FullSimplify[e^{\hbar(t/2 - \epsilon a)} (SD$g /. {a -> -a, t -> -t})];
```

SS[SD\$f]

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} (t - 2a\epsilon) \hbar + \frac{1}{48} (7t^2 - 26at\epsilon + t\gamma\epsilon + 26a^2\epsilon^2 - 2a\gamma\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon\varpi) \hbar^2 +$$

$$\frac{1}{96} (t - 2a\epsilon) (3t^2 - 10at\epsilon + t\gamma\epsilon + 10a^2\epsilon^2 - 2a\gamma\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon\varpi) \hbar^3 +$$

$$\frac{1}{23040} (121t^4 - 844at^3\epsilon + 62t^3\gamma\epsilon + 2296a^2t^2\epsilon^2 -$$

$$376a^2t^2\gamma\epsilon^2 - 5t^2\gamma^2\epsilon^2 + 124t^2\epsilon\varpi - 472at\epsilon^2\varpi - 4t\gamma\epsilon^2\varpi + 12\epsilon^2\varpi^2) \hbar^4$$

SDeq

$$\text{SD}\$w = \gamma \text{CU}[y, x] + \epsilon \text{CU}[a, a] - (t - \gamma\epsilon) \text{CU}[a] - t\gamma \text{CU}[] / 2;$$

SDeq

```
DeclareMorphism[SD, QU -> CU, {a -> CU@a,
  x -> SCU[SS[SD$f], a -> CU[a], w -> SD$w] ** CU@x,
  y -> SCU[SS[SD$g], a -> CU[a], w -> SD$w] ** CU@y
}]
```

Verifying the θ -symmetry:

```
Table[HL@Simplify[C@SD[z]] == SD[Q@z]], {z, QU /@ {y, a, x}}]
{True, True, True}
```

Verifying that the symmetric dequantizer is a homomorphism:

```
With[{bas = QU /@ {y, a, x}},
  Table[{z1, z2} -> HL@Simp[SD[z1 ** z2] - SD[z1] ** SD[z2]], {z1, bas}, {z2, bas}] ]
{{{QU[y], QU[y]} -> 0, {QU[y], QU[a]} -> 0, {QU[y], QU[x]} -> 0},
 {{QU[a], QU[y]} -> 0, {QU[a], QU[a]} -> 0, {QU[a], QU[x]} -> 0},
 {{QU[x], QU[y]} -> 0, {QU[x], QU[a]} -> 0, {QU[x], QU[x]} -> 0}}
```

R in QU.

Quesne's formula:

Quesne

$$\mathbf{e}_{q_-, n_-}[x_-] := \text{Exp}\left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1-q)^k}{k(1-q^k)} x^k\right]; \quad \mathbf{e}_{q_-}[x_-] := \mathbf{e}_{q, \text{TeD}}[x]$$

```
Table[Together@SeriesCoefficient[e_{\rho,5}[x], {x, 0, n}], {n, 0, 5}]
```

$$\left\{1, 1, \frac{1}{1+\rho}, \frac{1}{(1+\rho)(1+\rho+\rho^2)}, \frac{1}{(1+\rho)^2(1+\rho^2)(1+\rho+\rho^2)}, \right.$$

$$\left. 1 / \left((1+\rho)^2(1+\rho^2)(1+\rho+\rho^2)(1+\rho+\rho^2+\rho^3+\rho^4) \right) \right\}$$

```
Table[HL@FunctionExpand[QFactorial[n, \rho] SeriesCoefficient[e_{\rho,5}[x], {x, 0, n}]], {n, 0, 5}]
{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1}
```

R

```
QU[Ri,j] := OQU[SS[eħ b1 a2 eq[ħ y1 x2] /. b1 → γ-1 (e a1 - ti)], {y1, a1}i, {a2, x2}j];
QU[Ri,j-1] := Sj@QU[Ri,j];
```

QU[R_{3,4}] // Short

$$QU[] + \frac{\epsilon \hbar QU[a_3, a_4]}{\gamma} + \ll 39 \gg + QU[y_3, y_3, a_4, x_4, x_4] \left(-\frac{\hbar^3 t_3}{2 \gamma} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon \hbar^4 t_3 \right)$$

Verifying R2 (~2 secs @ \$ThD=4, \$TeD=2):

QU[R_{1,2} ** R_{1,2}⁻¹] // Simp // HL // Timing

{2.95313, QU[]}

Verifying R3 (~156 secs @ \$ThD=4, \$TeD=2):

{Short[lhs = QU[R_{1,2} ** R_{1,3} ** R_{2,3}]], HL@Simp[lhs - QU[R_{2,3} ** R_{1,3} ** R_{1,2}]]} // Timing

$$\{230.938, \{QU[] + \frac{\epsilon \hbar QU[a_1, a_2]}{\gamma} + \ll 1240 \gg + QU[y_1, a_3, x_3] \left(\frac{\hbar^3 t_2^2}{\gamma} + \frac{\hbar^4 t_2^3}{2 \gamma} \right), 0\}\}$$

C0 and Swaps

Swaps from Pensieve://Talks/Toulouse-1705/DogmaDemo.nb and from
Pensieve://Talks/Sydney-1708/ExtraDetails@@.nb.

CdsO

```
CU@CO[E[ω-, L-, Q-, P-], specs____] := OCU[SS[ω eħ (L+Q) P], specs]
```

CU@CO[E[1, t₁ a₂, t₁⁻¹ (e^{t₁} - 1) y₁ x₂, 1 + e x₁ y₂], {y₁, x₁}₁, {x₂, a₂, y₂}₂] // Short

$$CU[] + \ll 42 \gg + CU[y_1, x_1] \left(-\gamma \in \hbar^2 t_2 + e^{t_1} \gamma \in \hbar^2 t_2 + \ll 8 \gg + \frac{1}{6} e^{t_1} \gamma^3 \in \hbar^4 t_1^2 t_2 \right)$$

HL[MatrixExp[ξ Cρ[CU[x]]].MatrixExp[α Cρ[CU[a]]] ==
MatrixExp[α Cρ[CU[a]]].MatrixExp[e^{-γ α} ξ Cρ[CU[x]]]]

True

SW

```
SWxi, aj[CO[E[ω-, L-, Q-, P-], specs____]] := CO[
  With[{q = e-γ α ξ xi + α aj},
    E[ω, L, ħ-1 e-γ α ξ xi + (Q /. xi → 0), e-q DPxi → Dξ, aj → Dα}[P][eq]] /. {α → ħ ∂aj L, ξ → ħ ∂xi Q}
  ], Sequence@@({specs} /. {L____, xi, aj, r____} := {L, aj, xi, r})]
```

co = CO[E[1, t₁ a₂, t₁⁻¹ (e^{t₁} - 1) y₁ x₂, 1 + e x₁ y₂], {y₁, x₁}₁, {x₂, a₂, y₂}₂]

$$CO[E[1, a_2 t_1, \frac{(-1 + e^{t_1}) x_2 y_1}{t_1}, 1 + e x_1 y_2], \{y_1, x_1\}_1, \{x_2, a_2, y_2\}_2]$$

$SW_{x_2, a_2}[\mathbf{co}]$

$CO\left[\mathbb{E}\left[1, a_2 t_1, \frac{e^{-\gamma \hbar t_1} (-1 + e^{t_1}) x_2 y_1}{t_1}, 1 + \epsilon x_1 y_2\right], \{y_1, x_1\}_1, \{a_2, x_2, y_2\}_2\right]$

$With\left[\left\{\mathbf{co} = CO\left[\mathbb{E}\left[1, t_1 a_2, t_1^{-1} (e^{t_1} - 1) y_1 x_2, 1 + \epsilon x_1 y_2\right], \{y_1, x_1\}_1, \{x_2, a_2, y_2\}_2\right\}, \right.$
 $HL[CU[\mathbf{co}] == CU[\mathbf{co} // SW_{x_2, a_2}]]\left.\right]$

True

$With\left[\left\{\mathbf{co} = CO\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\omega, l_{11} t_1 a_1 + l_{12} t_1 a_2 + l_{21} t_2 a_1 + l_{22} t_2 a_2, \gamma_{11} x_1 y_1 + \gamma_{12} x_1 y_2 + \gamma_{21} x_2 y_1 + \gamma_{22} x_2 y_2, \right.\right.\right.$
 $1 + \epsilon (l_{11} a_1 + l_{12} a_2 + p_{11} x_1 y_1 + p_{12} x_1 y_2 + p_{21} x_2 y_1 + p_{22} x_2 y_2)\left.\right], \{y_1, a_1, x_1\}_1, \{x_2, a_2, y_2\}_2\left.\right\},$
 $\{CU[\mathbf{co}] // Short, HL[CU[\mathbf{co}] == CU[\mathbf{co} // SW_{x_2, a_2}]]\}$
 $\left.] \right]$

$\{CU[a_1, a_1, a_1] \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \omega \hbar^2 l_{11} l_{11}^2 t_1^2 + \epsilon \omega \hbar^2 l_{11} l_{11} l_{21} t_1 t_2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \omega \hbar^2 l_{11} l_{21}^2 t_2^2\right) + \ll 75 \gg + CU[] \left(\ll 1 \gg\right),$

True

Stitching Direct

$MatrixExp[\eta_1 C\rho[CU@y]].MatrixExp[\alpha_1 C\rho[CU@a]].$

$MatrixExp[\xi_1 C\rho[CU@x]].MatrixExp[\eta_2 C\rho[CU@y]].MatrixExp[\alpha_2 C\rho[CU@a]].$

$MatrixExp[\xi_2 C\rho[CU@x]] // Simplify // MatrixForm$

$\left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{\gamma (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} (1 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1) & e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma (e^{\gamma \alpha_2} \xi_2 + \xi_1 (1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_2)) \\ e^{\gamma \alpha_2} \in (\eta_2 + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \eta_1 (1 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1)) & 1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_2} \gamma \in (\eta_2 + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \eta_1 (1 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1)) \xi_2 \end{array} \right)$

$eqn = MatrixExp[\eta_1 C\rho[CU@y]].MatrixExp[\alpha_1 C\rho[CU@a]].MatrixExp[\xi_1 C\rho[CU@x]].$

$MatrixExp[\eta_2 C\rho[CU@y]].MatrixExp[\alpha_2 C\rho[CU@a]].MatrixExp[\xi_2 C\rho[CU@x]] ==$

$e^{\tau \theta \in \gamma} MatrixExp[\eta \theta C\rho[CU@y]].MatrixExp[\alpha \theta C\rho[CU@a]].MatrixExp[\xi \theta C\rho[CU@x]]$

$\left\{ \left\{ e^{\gamma \alpha_2} (e^{\gamma \alpha_1} + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1), e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma \xi_1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_2} \gamma (e^{\gamma \alpha_1} + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1) \xi_2 \right\}, \right.$
 $\left\{ e^{\gamma \alpha_2} (e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \in \eta_1 + \epsilon \eta_2 (1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1)), \right.$
 $1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_2} \gamma (e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \in \eta_1 + \epsilon \eta_2 (1 + e^{\gamma \alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1)) \xi_2 \left. \right\} ==$
 $\left\{ \left\{ e^{\alpha \theta \gamma + \gamma \in \tau \theta}, e^{\alpha \theta \gamma + \gamma \in \tau \theta} \gamma \xi \theta \right\}, \left\{ e^{\alpha \theta \gamma + \gamma \in \tau \theta} \in \eta \theta, e^{\gamma \in \tau \theta} (1 + e^{\alpha \theta \gamma} \gamma \in \eta \theta \xi \theta) \right\} \right\}$

sol = Block[{ ϵ }, Solve[Thread[Flatten /@eqn], { $\tau\theta$, $\eta\theta$, $\alpha\theta$, $\xi\theta$ }]][1]

Solve: Inconsistent or redundant transcendental equation. After reduction, the bad equation is

$$\text{Log}[e^{\gamma(\alpha\theta + \epsilon\tau\theta)}] - \text{Log}[e^{\gamma\alpha_2} (e^{\gamma\text{Subscript}[\ll 2 \gg]} + e^{\gamma\text{Times}[\ll 2 \gg]} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1)] = 0.$$

Solve: Inverse functions are being used by Solve, so some solutions may not be found; use Reduce for complete solution information.

Solve: Equations may not give solutions for all "solve" variables.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\theta &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\gamma \in} \left(-\text{Log}[e^{\alpha\theta \gamma}] + \text{Log}[e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} + e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1] \right), \\ \eta\theta &\rightarrow \left(e^{-\gamma\alpha_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1 + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma^2 \epsilon^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2 - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left((-1 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma^2 \epsilon^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2)^2 + \right.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 4 e^{-\alpha\theta \gamma + \gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in (-e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \eta_1 \xi_1 - \eta_2 \xi_1 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1^2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \eta_1 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \eta_2 \xi_2 - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 2 e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma^2 \epsilon^2 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2) \right) \right) \Bigg/ \\ &\quad \left(\gamma \in (\xi_1 + e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \xi_2 + e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2) \right), \xi\theta \rightarrow \left(e^{-\gamma\alpha_2} \left(\frac{1}{2\gamma \in} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \eta_1 \xi_1 + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \eta_1 \xi_2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \eta_2 \xi_2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2 - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2\gamma \in} \sqrt{\left((-1 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma^2 \epsilon^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2)^2 + \right.} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 4 e^{-\alpha\theta \gamma + \gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in (-e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \eta_1 \xi_1 - \eta_2 \xi_1 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1^2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \eta_1 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \eta_2 \xi_2 - 2 e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma \in \eta_2^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 - e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \gamma^2 \epsilon^2 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \xi_1^2 \xi_2) \right) \right) \Bigg/ (e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \eta_1 + \eta_2 + e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1) \} \end{aligned}$$

eqn = MatrixExp[η_1 C ρ [CU@y]].MatrixExp[α_1 C ρ [CU@a]].MatrixExp[ξ_1 C ρ [CU@x]].
MatrixExp[η_2 C ρ [CU@y]].MatrixExp[α_2 C ρ [CU@a]].MatrixExp[ξ_2 C ρ [CU@x]] ==
T θ MatrixExp[$\eta\theta$ C ρ [CU@y]].MatrixExp[$\alpha\theta$ C ρ [CU@a]].MatrixExp[$\xi\theta$ C ρ [CU@x]]

$$\begin{aligned} &\{ \{ e^{\gamma\alpha_2} (e^{\gamma\alpha_1} + e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1), e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \xi_1 + e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma (e^{\gamma\alpha_1} + e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1) \xi_2 \}, \\ &\{ e^{\gamma\alpha_2} (e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \in \eta_1 + \in \eta_2 (1 + e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1)), \\ &\quad 1 + e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1 + e^{\gamma\alpha_2} \gamma (e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \in \eta_1 + \in \eta_2 (1 + e^{\gamma\alpha_1} \gamma \in \eta_1 \xi_1)) \xi_2 \} \} = \\ &\{ \{ e^{\alpha\theta \gamma} T\theta, e^{\alpha\theta \gamma} T\theta \gamma \xi\theta \}, \{ e^{\alpha\theta \gamma} T\theta \in \eta\theta, T\theta (1 + e^{\alpha\theta \gamma} \gamma \in \eta\theta \xi\theta) \} \} \end{aligned}$$

sol = Block[{ ϵ }, Solve[Thread[Flatten /@eqn], {T θ , $\eta\theta$, $\alpha\theta$, $\xi\theta$ }]][1]

Solve: Inverse functions are being used by Solve, so some solutions may not be found; use Reduce for complete solution information.

$$\begin{aligned} T\theta &\rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1}, \eta\theta \rightarrow \frac{\eta_1 + e^{-\gamma\alpha_1} \eta_2 + \gamma \in \eta_1 \eta_2 \xi_1}{1 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1}, \\ \alpha\theta &\rightarrow \frac{\text{Log}[e^{\gamma\alpha_1 + \gamma\alpha_2} (1 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1)^2]}{\gamma}, \xi\theta \rightarrow \frac{e^{-\gamma\alpha_2} \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1 \xi_2}{1 + \gamma \in \eta_2 \xi_1} \} \end{aligned}$$

E

$\mathbb{E}[\omega, L, Q, P]$ means $\omega e^{\hbar(L+Q)} P$, where ω is a scalar, L is linear in the a 's, Q is a combination of $x_i y_j$, and P is a perturbation polynomial. It should be interpreted via $\mathsf{CO}[\mathbb{E}[\dots], \{x_1, a_1, y_1\}_j, \dots]$ (with some default for direct interpretation), or likewise via $\mathsf{QO}[\mathbb{E}[\dots], \{x_1, a_1, y_1\}_j, \dots]$. In themselves, CO and QO should have an interpretation in CU/QU by casting.