Greece handout on June 16, 2016

June 16, 2016 8:52 AM

Dror Bar-Natan: Talks: Greece-1607: **The Brute and the Hidden Paradise**

ωεβ:=http://drorbn.net/Greece-1607/
Slides w/ no handout/URL should be banned!

Abstract. There is expected to be a hidden paradise of poly-time computable knot polynomials lying just beyond the Alexander polynomial. I will describe my brute attempts to gain entry.

Why "expected"? Gauss diagram formulas [PV, GPV] show that finite-type invariants are all poly-time, and tempt the conjecture that there are no others. But Alexander shows it nonsense:

$$v_{d,f}(K) = \sum_{Y\subset X(K),\ |Y|=d} f(Y)$$

d	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	$\cdots$
known invts in* $O(n^d)$	1	1	∞	3	4	8	11	$\cdots$

This is an unreasonable picture! **Fresh, numerical, no cheating.*
So there ought to be further poly-time invariants.

Also. • The diagonal above the Alexander diagonal in the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky [MM, Ro] of the coloured Jones polynomial. • The 2-loop contribution to the Kontsevich integral.

Why "paradise"? Foremost answer: *OBVIOUSLY.* Cf. proving (incomputable A)=(incomputable B), or categorifying (incomputable C).

ωεβ/K17: (extend to tangles, perhaps detect non-slice ribbon knots)

Second Answer. The second answer has to do with "Algebraic Knot Theory", so let me start with that. Somewhat informally, a "tangle" is a piece of a knot, or a "knot with endpoints" (an example is on the right). Knots can be assembled by stitching together the strands of several tangles, or the different strands of a single tangle. Some interesting classes of knots can be defined algebraically using tangles and these stitching operations. Here is the most interesting example:

Figure 1. A tangle.

Definition 1. A "ribbon knot" is a knot K that can be presented as the boundary of a disk D which is allowed to have "ribbon singularities" but not "clasp singularities". See Figure 2.



Figure 2. A ribbon singularity, a clasp singularity, and an example of a ribbon knot.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{T}_{2n}$ denote the set of all tangles T with $2n$ components that connect $2n$ points along a "top end" with $2n$ points along a "bottom end" inducing the identity permutation of ends (an example is the tangle in Figure 1). Given $T\in\mathcal{T}_{2n}$, let $\tau(T)$ be the result of stitching its components at the top in pairs as on the right — it is an n-component tangle all of whose ends are at the bottom, and we (somewhat loosely) denote the set of all such by $\mathcal{T}_n$, so $\tau\colon\mathcal{T}_{2n}\to\mathcal{T}_n$. Likewise let $\kappa(T)$ be the result of stitching T both at the top and at the bottom, also as on the right. So $\kappa(T)$ is a 1-component tangle, which is the same as a knot, and $\kappa\colon\mathcal{T}_{2n}\to\mathcal{T}_1$.



gives $\mathcal{T}_n \xleftarrow{\tau} \mathcal{T}_{2n} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{T}_1$

Theorem 1 (I have not seen this theorem in the literature, yet it is not difficult to prove). The set of ribbon knots is the set of all knots K that can be written as $K=\kappa(T)$ for some tangle T for which $\tau(T)$ is the untangled (crossingless) tangle U:

$$\{\text{ribbon knots}\} = \{\kappa(T)\colon T\in\mathcal{T}_{2n} \text{ and } \tau(T)=U\in\mathcal{T}_n\}.$$

Now suppose we have an invariant $Z\colon\mathcal{T}_k\to A_k$ of tangles, which takes values in some spaces A_k. Suppose also we have operations $\tau_A\colon A_{2n}\to A_n$ and $\kappa_A\colon A_{2n}\to A_1$ such that the diagram on the right is commutative. Then

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{T}_n \arrow[d, "Z"] & \mathcal{T}_{2n} \arrow[l, "\tau"'] \arrow[r, "\kappa"] \arrow[d, "Z"] & \mathcal{T}_1 \arrow[d, "Z"] \\ A_n & A_{2n} \arrow[l, "\tau_A"'] \arrow[r, "\kappa_A"] & A_1 \end{tikzcd} \qquad (1)$$

$$Z(\{\text{ribbon knots}\}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_A := \{\kappa_A(\zeta)\colon \zeta\in A_{2n} \text{ and } \tau_A(\zeta)=1_A\in A_n\}\subset\mathcal{A}_1, \qquad (2)$$

where $1_A := Z(U)\in A_n$. If the target spaces A_k are algebraic (polynomials, matrices, matrices of polynomials, etc.) and the operations τ_A and κ_A are algebraic maps between them (at this stage, meaning just "have simple algebraic formulas"), then $\mathcal{R}_A$ is an algebraically defined set. Hence we potentially have an algebraic way to detect non-ribbon knots: if $Z(K)\notin\mathcal{R}_A$, then K is not ribbon.

As it turns out, it is valuable to detect non-ribbon knots. Indeed the Slice-Ribbon Conjecture (Fox, 1960s) asserts that every slice knot (a knot in S^3 that can be presented as the boundary of a disk embedded in B^4) is ribbon. Gompf, Scharlemann, and Thompson [GST] describe a family of slice knots which they conjecture are not ribbon (the simplest of those is on the right). With the algebraic technology described above it may be possible to show that the [GST] knots are indeed non-ribbon, thus disproving the Slice-Ribbon Conjecture.

What would it take?

C1. An invariant Z which makes sense on tangles and for which diagram (1) commutes.
C2. Z cannot be a simple extension of the Alexander polynomial to tangles, for by Fox-Milnor [FM] the Alexander polynomial does not detect non-ribbon slice knots.
C3. Z cannot be computable from finitely many finite type invariants, for this would contradict the results of Ng [Ng].[1]
C4. Z must be computable on at least the simplest [GST] knot, which has 48 crossings.
C5. It is better if in some meaningful sense the size of the spaces A_k grows slowly in k. Indeed in (2), if A_{2n} is much bigger than A_n and A_1 then at least generically $\mathcal{R}_A$ will be the full set A_1 and our condition will be empty.

No invariant that I know now meets these criteria. Alexander and Vassiliev fail C2 and C3, respectively. Almost all quantum invariants and knot homologies pass C1-C3, but fail C4. Jones, HOMFLY-PT and Khovanov potentially pass C4, yet fail C5. We must come up with something new.

[FM] R. H. Fox and J. W. Milnor, *Singularities of 2-Speheres in 4-Space and Cobordism of Knots,* Osaka J. Math. **3** (1966) 257–267.
[GST] R. E. Gompf, M. Scharlemann, and A. Thompson, *Fibered Knots and Potential Counterexamples to the Property 2R and Slice-Ribbon Conjectures,* Geom. and Top. **14** (2010) 2305–2347, arXiv:1103.1601.
[Ng] K. Y. Ng, *Groups of ribbon knots,* Topology **37** (1998) 441–458, arXiv:q-alg/9502017 (with an addendum at arXiv:math.GT/0310074)

[1] A slight subtlety arises: There is no taking limits here, and C3 does not preclude the possibility that Z is computable from infinitely many finite type invariants. The Fox-Milnor condition on the Alexander polynomial of ribbon knots, for example, is expressible in terms of the full Alexander polynomial, yet not in terms of any finite type reduction thereof. Unfortunately by C2 it cannot be used here.

Why "brute"? Cause it's the only thing I know, for now. There may be better ways in, and it's fair to hope that sooner or later they will be found.



References.

[BN] D. Bar-Natan, *Balloons and Hoops and their Universal Finite Type Invariant, BF Theory, and an Ultimate Alexander Invariant,* ωεβ/KBH, arXiv:1308.1721.
[BND] D. Bar-Natan and Z. Dancso, *Finite Type Invariants of W-Knotted Objects I, II, IV,* ωεβ/WKO1, ωεβ/WKO2, ωεβ/WKO4, arXiv:1405.1956, arXiv:1405.1955, arXiv:1511.05624.
[BNG] D. Bar-Natan and S. Garoufalidis, *On the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky conjecture,* Invent. Math. **125** (1996) 103–133.
[BNS] D. Bar-Natan and S. Selmani, *Meta-Monoids, Meta-Bicrossed Products, and the Alexander Polynomial,* J. of Knot Theory and its Ramifications **22-10** (2013), arXiv:1302.5689.
[CT] D. Cimasoni and V. Turaev, *A Lagrangian Representation of Tangles,* Topology **44** (2005) 747–767, arXiv:math.GT/0406269.
[En] B. Enriquez, *A Cohomological Construction of Quantization Functors of Lie Bialgebras,* Adv. in Math. **197-2** (2005) 430âĂŞ479, arXiv:math/0212325.
[EK] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Lie Bialgebras, I,* Selecta Mathematica **2** (1996) 1–41, arXiv:q-alg/9506005.
[GPV] M. Goussarov, M. Polyak, and O. Viro, *Finite type invariants of classical and virtual knots,* Topology **39** (2000) 1045–1068, arXiv:math.GT/9810073.
[KLW] P. Kirk, C. Livingston, and Z. Wang, *The Gassner Representation for String Links,* Comm. Cont. Math. **3** (2001) 87–136, arXiv:math/9806035.
[LD] J. Y. Le Dimet, *Enlacements d'Intervalles et Représentation de Gassner,* Comment. Math. Helv. **67** (1992) 306–315.
[MM] P. M. Melvin and H. R. Morton, *The coloured Jones function,* Commun. Math. Phys. **169** (1995) 501–520.
[PV] M. Polyak and O. Viro, *Gauss Diagram Formulas for Vassiliev Invariants,* Inter. Math. Res. Notices **11** (1994) 445–453.
[Ro] L. Rozansky, *A contribution of the trivial flat connection to the Jones polynomial and Witten's invariant of 3d manifolds, I,* Comm. Math. Phys. **175-2** (1996) 275–296, arXiv:hep-th/9401061.

"God created the knots; all else in topology is the work of mortals."

Leopold Kronecker (modified)

katlas.org

Add: 1. The gold standard: (IAS Logo?)

Γ calculus: The best Alexander!

2. EK–E–S–...: $\exists$ a homomorphic expansion

$$Z:\{\text{n-component } v/b\text{-tangles}\}\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^v_n$$

(it is enough to know Z on [crossing] and have disjoint union / stitching formulas)

... too hard!

3 TC, TC^2, the 7 diagrams.

4. 2D, $\mathcal{A}^{2,2}$, $Z^{2,2}=\exp(z^{2,2})$

5. $P^{2,2}$ [split $b\mapsto\beta+\eta$ already here]?



$$R_{ij}=e^{a_{ij}}(\ \ldots\ )\in \exp P^{2,2}$$

6. How do you multiply in $\exp L$?

1. use adjoint rep.
2. ... and the Euler trick.

7. The adjoint-Kassner example.

display an implementation of Ad-Cas

8. Schematic stitching.

9. By a miracle, this leads to Γ-calculus.

display an implementation of Gassner.

10. Similar work for $P^{2,2}$

11. The 1-co braid rep.

display an implementation.

12. poly like $n^{\sim 14}$.

13. To do list.

14. Other approaches: QG for a 2D Lie BiAlg? Simply study MMR? Get more out of the Kricker proofs of the Rozansky conjecture? Something out of Ito? GG formulas?