## Dore or gueured:

Theorem 3.2.  $M_0$ , with the operations defined above, is a meta-group-action (MGA).

Proof. Most MGA axioms are trivial to verify. The most important ones are the ones in Equations-(2) through (5). Of these, the meta-associativity of hm follows from the associativity of the bch formula,  $\mathrm{bch}(\mathrm{bch}(\lambda_x,\lambda_y),\lambda_z) = \mathrm{bch}(\lambda_x,\mathrm{bch}(\lambda_y,\lambda_z))$ , the meta-associativity of tm and the meta-action axiom t are trivial, and it remains to prove the the meta-action axiom t (Equation (5)), which now reads:

## deserves explanation

I should add a section about the antipode & the Joubling operator.

put in somewhere, "this is really a paper about computations"

Write a decent introduction!