

Figuring out NOE

November 27, 2016 12:38 PM

Differential Polynomials

$\text{DP}_{x \rightarrow D_\alpha, y \rightarrow D_\beta} [P_][f_] := (* \text{ means } P[\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta][f] *)$

$\text{Total}[\text{CoefficientRules}[P, \{x, y\}] /.$

$(\{m_, n_ \} \rightarrow c_) \Rightarrow c \text{D}[f, \{\alpha, m\}, \{\beta, n\}]$

$\text{CF}[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}] := \text{Expand} /@ \text{Together} /@ \mathcal{E};$

$E / : E[\omega_1, L_1, Q_1, P1_] E[\omega_2, L_2, Q_2, P2_] :=$

$\text{CF}@E[\omega_1 \omega_2, L_1 + L_2, \omega_2 Q_1 + \omega_1 Q_2, \omega^4 P1 + \omega^4 P2];$

Normal Ordering Operators

$N_{c_j} (x: v | w)_{i \rightarrow k} [E[\omega, L, Q, P]] := \text{With}[\{q = e^\gamma \beta x_k + \gamma c_k\}, \text{CF}[$

$E[\omega, \gamma c_k + (L / . c_j \rightarrow 0), \omega e^\gamma \beta x_k + (Q / . x_i \rightarrow 0),$

$e^{-q} \text{DP}_{c_j \rightarrow D_y, x_i \rightarrow D_\beta} [P][e^q]] / . \{ \gamma \rightarrow \partial_{c_j} L, \beta \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \partial_{x_i} Q \}]];$

$N_{w_i v_j \rightarrow k} [E[\omega, L, Q, P]] :=$

$\text{With}[\{q = ((1 - t_k) \alpha \beta + \beta v_k + \delta v_k w_k + \alpha w_k) / \mu\}, \text{CF}[$

$E[\mu \omega, \beta, \mu w q + \mu (Q / . w_i | v_j \rightarrow 0),$

$A [\mu^2 e^{-q} \text{DP}_{w_i \rightarrow D_\alpha, v_j \rightarrow D_\beta} [P][e^q] + \omega^4 \Delta[k]] / . \mu \rightarrow 1 + (t_k - 1) \delta / .$

$\{\alpha \rightarrow \omega^{-1} (\partial_{w_i} Q / . v_j \rightarrow 0), \beta \rightarrow \omega^{-1} (\partial_{v_j} Q / . w_i \rightarrow 0),$

$\delta \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \partial_{w_i, v_j} Q\}]];$

$m_{i,j \rightarrow k} [Z] := \text{Module}[\{x, z\}, \text{CF}[$

$Z // N_{w_i v_j \rightarrow x} // N_{c_i v_x \rightarrow x} // N_{w_x c_j \rightarrow x} // \text{ReplaceAll}[z_{-i|j|x} \rightarrow z_k]]]$

Utilities

2016-11/TracingNOE1@vcb.nb discoveries:

In $E(w, L, Q, P)$, the coeff

of $(vw)^k \ell^l$

is divisible by w^{2+l-k} ,
and this is true for the
1 terms & the DP
terms independently.

P may contain: $l, c, CC,$

$vw, CVW, VWVW,$

D: In conjunction with

$M = 1 + (t_k - 1) \delta$ & $f = w^{-1} Q^{w/k}$,

leads to complicated denominators.

A: An Expand here may cancel many μ 's. B: Sup optimization trick applies.

$\Delta[k] :=$

$$((t_k - 1) (2 (\alpha \beta + \delta \mu)^2 - \alpha^2 \beta^2) - 4 v_k c_k w_k \delta^2 \mu^2 -$$

$$\delta (1 + \mu) (w_k^2 \alpha^2 + v_k^2 \beta^2) - v_k^2 w_k^2 \delta^3 (1 + 3 \mu) -$$

$$2 (\alpha \beta^3 + 2 \delta \mu^3 + v_k w_k \delta^2 (1 + 2 \mu) + 2 c_k \delta^4 \mu^2) (w_k \alpha + v_k \beta) -$$

$$4 (c_k \mu^2 + v_k w_k \delta (1 + \mu)) (\alpha \beta + \delta \mu) (1 + t_k) / 4; \text{ The } \Lambda \circ \gamma \circ \delta \circ \mu$$

From: α, β, δ, M degree.

C: Bring the w into 1 and get rid of all divisions.

$$\mathbb{O}(\epsilon P(u, w) e^{\alpha w + \beta u + \delta uw} |wu) = \mathbb{O}(\epsilon P(\partial_\beta, \partial_\alpha) v e^{\nu(-\beta \alpha \beta + \alpha w + \beta u + \delta uw)} |cuw)$$

perhaps the key is "our z 's always represent an automorphism of y_j that constrains the relationship between w, L, Q , and P ".

This might even be the key to the polynomiality of Q .

Is "gain one w " a general property or is it only for our specific p 's?