

Braverman: Index Theory and Geometric Quantization of Non-Compact Manifolds

July-13-11
10:03 AM

Today: Introduction to Atiyah-Singer.

$A: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ a linear trans between Vs.

$\dim \ker A = \#\{\lambda_i(A) = 0\}$ may jump up within a cont. family.

$\text{Coker } A = V_2 / \text{im } A$.

Claim

$$\dim \ker A - \dim \text{coker } A = \dim V_1 - V_2$$

hence l.h.s. is indep of A .

Now $A: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$, V_1, V_2 Hilbert spaces.

Definition. A is "Fredholm" if

$$\dim \ker A < \infty, \quad \dim \text{coker } A < \infty.$$

In that case, set

$$\text{ind } A = \dim \ker A - \dim \text{coker } A. \quad \text{to be defined.}$$

Thm 1. (Fritz Noether) In a reasonable cont.

family of Fredholm operators, the index

is constant.

roughly, $\text{K} \sim \binom{\lambda_1, 0}{0}$ w/ $\lambda_i \neq 0$.

2. If K is compact Then $\text{ind } A = \text{ind}(A+k)$

Example

$$T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$$

$$T(x_1, \dots) = (x_3, x_4, \dots)$$

$$\dim \ker T = 2 \quad \dim \text{coker } T = 0$$

ind $T = 2$, could not happen in F.O.

Throw in a compact group G , acting on

V_1, V_2 , w/ an equivariant A .

$\Rightarrow \ker A$ is invariant under G ,

G acts on $\text{coker } A$.

V_0 : always
the trivial
rep.

$\ker A$ = something like $3V_1 \oplus 2V_2 + V_3$ V_i irreds.

$$= \sum_{m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} m_i^+ V_i$$

$$\text{coker } A = \sum m_i^- V_i$$

Set

$$\text{ind}_G A = (m_i^+ - m_i^-, \dots)$$

or better,

$$\text{ind}_G A = \ker A - \text{coker } A \in R(G)$$

$$= \{V - U\} / \begin{cases} V - U = A - B \\ \text{if } V \oplus B = U \oplus A \end{cases}$$

= the Grothendieck group of $\text{Rep}(G)$

Remark $R(G) := \left\{ \sum m_i V_i : \begin{array}{l} m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ finite,} \\ V_i \text{ irreds,} \\ \text{summation may} \\ \text{be infinite} \end{array} \right\}$

Example $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright V = \ell^2$ by

$$g: \mathbb{L}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\infty}, \quad g(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_1, x_4, x_3, \dots)$$

where g is the non-trivial element of \mathbb{Z}_2

w/ T as before,

$$\ker T = V_0 \oplus V_1 \quad \text{where on } V_1, \\ \text{So } \operatorname{ind} T = V_0 \oplus V_1 \quad g = -1.$$

Consider differential operators:

$$\mathcal{D} = \sum a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + \text{lower orders}$$

acting on Sobolev spaces

$$H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \overline{\{f : f, \text{compact support}, \text{if } f \in L^2\}}$$

\mathcal{D} is a bounded operator

$$\mathcal{D}: H_0^2 \rightarrow L^2.$$

Likewise for operators on compact manifolds...

The symbol of \mathcal{D} ...

If \mathcal{D} is elliptic if $\sigma_k(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \neq 0$.

In the bundle case, \mathcal{D} is elliptic if its symbol is invertible for $\zeta \neq 0$.

Theorem 1. Elliptic regularity:

$$\mathcal{D}f = u \in C^\infty \Rightarrow f \in C^\infty.$$

2. \mathcal{D} is Fredholm.

3. If $\text{ord } \mathcal{D} = k$, $\mathcal{D}: H^k \rightarrow L^2$, A is an operator of order $\leq k$, then

$A: H^k \rightarrow L^2$ is compact.

\Rightarrow The index of \mathcal{D} depends just on its symbol.

Question by Gelfand: There has to be a topological way to determine the index from the symbol?