

Conventions for Symbolic $gI(*)$

June-28-11
1:41 PM

$$\sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \dots i < k} E_{i_1 i_2} E_{i_2 i_3} \dots$$

$$(i, j) \mapsto \sum_{k_1 < i < k_2 < k_3 < j < k_4 \dots} E_{k_1 i} E_{ij k_3} E_{k_2 j} \dots$$

$$(i_1, i_2) \mapsto \sum_{\substack{k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4 < k_5 < k_6 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots}} E_{k_1 k_2} E_{k_2 k_3} \dots$$

$$S[6, \{1, 2\} \xrightarrow{\text{external}} \{2, 5\}, W[E_{12}, E_{54}, \dots]]$$

make into a list?

could itself be an S expression.

Issues - Products

Iteration.

Perhaps a convention should be declared that no indices are ever to be used unless their relative ordering is known.

$$gI(*) = \left\langle \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} E_{i_1 i_2} E_{i_2 i_3} \dots \right\rangle$$

$$= \langle S[k, \text{word in } U(gl(k))] \rangle$$

$\cup [E_{12}, E_{54}, \dots]$

$$gl^*(*) = \left\langle \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} E_{i_1 i_3}^{j_1} E_{i_2 i_5}^{j_2} \dots \right\rangle$$

A circuit algebra ...
(a "strand bi-algebra"?)

We need

$$Sh[2,3] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{3, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}, \\ \{4, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}, \\ \dots \end{array} \right\}$$

Can the co-bracket be implemented in this restricted context?

Are we happy w/ $\sum_{i < j} a_{ij} E_{ii}^{-1} E_{jj}$?