For a set 
$$A \subseteq W$$
, let  $i_A: W \rightarrow W$  be  
 $i_A(n) = |A \cap [1, n]|$  (so for  $n \in A$ ,  $i_A(n)$   
is the serial number of  
 $n$  in  $A$ )  
and let  $f_A(n) := N + i_A(n)$ .  
Quistion Assume  $A$  is an  $R.E.$  stl. Is  
 $B = F_A(A)$  also  $RE_2^{\circ}$   
i.e., to each almost of  $A$   
 $add$  its index within  $A$ .  
Strategy  $A$   $B$  is not  $RE$ . Assume  $H$  is and use  $IH$  to  
Show that  $A$  is necesive.  
Let  $\prec$  be a machine that outputs  $A$  and assume  $B$   
is a makine that outputs  $B$ .  
Goal 1 Certify with a certainty of induction:  $a \rightarrow n$   
stopping [enited] that some output  $n$  of  $\prec$ , or  $D+I$   
 $\not{\subset} \beta$ , is the smallest that will our be produced by  
its respective machine.  
 $- IF \beta \rightarrow 2$ , done  
If  $\beta \rightarrow 3$ , wit for  $\prec \rightarrow 1$  or  $\cancel{2} \rightarrow 2$ ; one of the two  
must happen and in either case we are done:  
 $\alpha \rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow [X \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 24 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \approx [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B, 3 + B, \Rightarrow \otimes [So \leftrightarrow 12 + B, 3 + B$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \beta \rightarrow 4, \text{ Wait for } \swarrow \rightarrow 1, 2, \text{ or } 3. \\ \swarrow \rightarrow 1 \text{ or } 2 \implies \beta \rightarrow 4 \quad \text{isn't minimul.} \\ \swarrow \rightarrow 3 \implies A^{n}[i_{1}3] = e^{3} \text{ or } e^{1} \text{ or } e^{2} \text{ or } e^{1} \text{ or } e^{$$

Goal 2 Will L->1 Lo stratugy B B is RE.

Itai's Solution:

Question: For a subset B of N (the naturals), write B={b\_1<b\_2<b\_3<...} and let TB={k+b\_k}. If B is RE, is it always true that TB is RE?

Answer: No. Let A be the set of n's such that Turing machine number n halts, and let B={2^n: n\in A}. Then B is clearly RE, but TB is not. Indeed, elements of TB are of the form 2<sup>n+k</sup>, where 0<=k<=n, so k is much smaller than 2<sup>n</sup>. Thus if you get an element of TB you can immediately find its n and k. But k is the number of machines before n that stop. If you know that number it is easy to find out which are the machines that stop - you simply run all n machines in parallel until exactly k of them stop, and you now know that the rest will never stop. So if you have a machine that can produce arbitrarily large elements of TB then you can solve the halting problem; so TB is not RE.