Blomer@GSS: What does the Borsuk-Ulam theorem have to do with quadratic forms?

January-14-09

DIE K a fill
$$S(K) = \text{"The level of } K''$$

$$= \min_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} = -1$$

$$= \min_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} = -1$$

$$= \min_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} = -1$$

$$= \min_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} = -1$$

$$= \min_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} = -1$$

$$= \sup_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} = -1$$

$$= \sup_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} = -1$$

$$= \sup_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \sup_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \sup_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j} = -1$$

$$= \lim_{n \in N} \frac{1}{3} e_{j} \epsilon_{k} k^{*} \quad S.f. \quad \sum e_{j}^{2} e_{j}$$

 $T_n = T_n(x_1...x_{2^n}) \in \mathcal{M}_n + (2^n \times 2^n, k(x_1...x_{2^n}))$ S.t. $T_n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} x_i^2 \right) I_{2^n}$ PF To=(SC); given Tn, construct TAH: $T_{n+1}\left(\underline{x},\underline{y}\right) = \left(T_{n}(\underline{x}) \quad T_{n}(\underline{y}) \\ -T_{n}(\underline{y}) \quad \underline{f_{n}} \quad T_{n}(\underline{y}) \quad T_{n}(\underline{x}) \quad T_{n}(\underline{y})\right)$ Corollary If S(K) 327 Then for all aick*, Lifk i=1... 2" > Cifk s.t. 2 ai 2 5 1 = 5 c, 2 Proof since s(F) >27, there are now Vanishing donominators in Th, so $T_n = T_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2^n}) \in M_1 + (2^n 2^n, k)$ is woll dorshod. Let &= Tn & So Eai 26 = [[[Zai] = [[]] ([[]) = 4 = 2 92 Rumark with a longer proof, cor is still correct w/o the level assumption. Remark (Hurwitz 1898) if Char K+2, Exil Syil = 52x with 2,5 Lilinear in xily; is possible only in din=12,4,8 Romark (Prister 1967) if a rational function in >(1... In is always positive (cooff's in IR) Then R is a sum of at most 2" squares.

 $\frac{PF = 86 \text{ level is } \propto \text{ part of } 2 \text{ Assume}}{2^{5} 5 5 5 2^{n+1} + 50 - 1 = \frac{5}{12} \text{ b}^{2}}$ Lit 9=1+0,7+...+0,2, 6=0,2+...+0,2 $\int_{0}^{\infty} -1 = \frac{\alpha + \frac{\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1^{2}}}{1^{2}} = \frac{\alpha + \frac{\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1^{2}}}{1^{2}} = \frac{\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1^{2}}$ $=\frac{1}{6^2}\sum_{i=1}^{7^n}\left(\frac{c_i}{b}\right)^2$ Rumark Francy power of 2 is a last: $S(|R(x_1, x_1, \sqrt{-\varepsilon x_i^2})) = 2^n$ The level also make songe For vings ! Example $s(\mathbb{Z}/y)=3$. Theorem Yn FR there is a ring R s.t. s(R)=n PF Consider Pairs (X, Z) of a topological speak with a continuous involution, 72=1. Make those into a category. DOF The lovel OF (X, T), S(X, T) is The smellest Myar Sit. $\exists f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (S^{n-1}, inv)$ Given (X, V) let $A_{X,v} := \langle F: (X,v) \longrightarrow (C,-) \rangle$ (an IK-algibia) contravoriont functor.

Theorem $S(X, T) = S(A_{X,T})$ PF ">": a ssume S(X,T) = n, let $g:(X,T) \to (S^{n-1},in)$ For j = 1..., n let $f: (x) = i \times j : (S^{n-1},inv) \to (C_1 - i)$ $\sum f(X_1)^2 = -1$ "\(\)"